EXHIBIT A

2:24-cv-07381-RMG

Date Filed 11/22/24

Entry Number

EDECTRONICALLY FILED

1071472024 2:58 PM

01-CV-2024-904104.00 Cas CIRCUIT COURT OF O1 JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH, CLERK

State of Alabama	a
Unified Judicial	System
Form ABCiv 03	Pov. 9/19

COVER SHEET CIRCUIT COURT - CIVIL CASE

DOCUMENT 1

(Not For Domestic Relations Cases)

Date of Filing: Judge Code:

Form ARCiv-93 Rev. 9/18	(NOT FOI DO	omestic Relations Cases)	10/14/2024
	GEN	NERAL INFORMATION	
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL v. 3M COMPANY ET AL			
First Plaintiff: Busines	<u></u>	First Defendant: ☑ Bu	siness
NATURE OF SUIT: Select	t primary cause of action	, by checking box (check only one)	that best characterizes your action:
TORTS: PERSONAL INJUI WDEA - Wrongful Dea TONG - Negligence: N TOWA - Wantonness TOWA - Wantonness TOPL - Product Liabi TOMM - Malpractice-N TOLM - Malpractice-N TOOM - Malpractice-C TOOM - Malpractice-C TOOM - Fraud/Bad Fa TOXX - Other: TORTS: PERSONAL INJUI TOPE - Personal Pro TORE - Real Properly OTHER CIVIL FILINGS ABAN - Abandoned A ACCT - Account & No APAA - Administrative ADPA - Administrative	Seneral Motor Vehicle lity/AEMLD Medical egal Other aith/Misrepresentation RY perty uutomobile onmortgage e Agency Appeal	Enforcement of Ag CVRT - Civil Rights COND - Condemnation/En CTMP - Contempt of Cour CONT - Contract/Ejectmer TOCN - Conversion EQND - Equity Non-Dama Injunction Election CVUD - Eviction Appeal/U FORJ - Foreign Judgment FORF - Fruits of Crime Fo MSHC - Habeas Corpus/E PFAB - Protection From A EPFA - Elder Protection F QTLB - Quiet Title Land B FELA - Railroad/Seaman RPRO - Real Property	ges Actions/Declaratory Judgment/ n Contest/Quiet Title/Sale For Division nlawful Detainer t rfeiture xtraordinary Writ/Mandamus/Prohibition abuse from Abuse ank (FELA)
ADPA - Administrative		CVXX - Miscellaneous Cir	
ORIGIN: F 🗸 INITIAL I	FILING	A APPEAL FROM DISTRICT COURT	O OTHER
R 🗌 REMANI	DED	T TRANSFERRED FROM OTHER CIRCUIT COU	
HAS JURY TRIAL BEEN DEMANDED? YES NO Note: Checking "Yes" does not constitute a demand for a jury trial. (See Rules 38 and 39, Ala.R.Civ.P, for procedure)			
RELIEF REQUESTED: ✓ MONETARY AWARD REQUESTED ☐ NO MONETARY AWARD REQUESTED			
ATTORNEY CODE: CAD010 10/14/2024 2:58:35 PM Date /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Signature of Attorney/Party filing this form			
MEDIATION REQUESTED: □YES ☑NO □UNDECIDED			
Election to Proceed under the Alabama Rules for Expedited Civil Actions:			



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

TIMMIE WATKINS;
JOHN ROBERT LORICCO;
OTHA LOVEDAY;
PATRICK LOWE;
LORENZO LYNCH;
LEANNE MARIE MACKEY;
PAUL JOSEPH MADDEN;
DAVID MANN;
CHRISTOPHER ALAN MANN;
MARTY MAYES;
PAUL A MAYO;
JACK EDWARD MCCASLAND;
KANDI MCGINNIE;
EDWARD MCHUGH;
TERESA MEDINA;
DAVID MEDINA;
STEVE MEMENGA;
PEDRO MENENDEZ;
NAKITTA MILLER;
TRACEY MILLER-VANKNOWE;
FERNANDO MONTANEZ;
MICHAEL FORREST MOORE;
NICHOLAS MOORE;
DUANE LEE MOORE ;
WILLIAM MORALES;
JOHN D. MULLEN;
ROSE MULLINS;
GLENN C. NEILSON;
LORNA NEWTON;
WILLIAM NEWTON;
GEORGE NICHOLS;
JASON LEE NOBLES;
BENJAMIN NUTALL;
DWIGHT ONLEY;
SHIRLEY PAPA;
THOMAS PARKER;
MARCUS PARKER;
JOSE LUIS PATLAN;
RAYMOND PATRICK;
EMILY PATE PEARSON;
PAMELA PEDERSON;
DENNIS PERINE;
SCOTT PETERSON;
CHERON PHELPS;
ERIC PIERCE;

MICHAEL LEE PINE;

MDL NO. 2873	
Civil Action No:	
JUDGE RICHARD GERGEL	
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND	

Date Filed 11/22/24

DAWN PLUNKETT;

TINA S PONCE;

TINA ROSA POYNTER;

LARRY PRATT;

RONALD PRICE;

LUTHER RAY JR.;

TODD STEVEN RECTOR;

SHAUN ANDREW REGAN;

SANDRA REID-DELOTCH;

RALEIGH RICHARDSON;

KENNETH RICKS;

LONNIE RININGER;

FREDDIE ROBERSON;

WALTER ROBERTS;

JOHN ROBERTSON;

MARK ROCKWOOD;

AMALIA RODRIGUEZ;

BARBARA ROLES;

CARLOS ROMAN;

HEATHER ELIZABETH RUGH;

JOHN RUIZ;

GERALD RUTER;

MICHAEL JOHN SALAY;

THARRY SALLEY;

MICHAEL ANDRE SAMUELS;

LES SCHNEIDER;

BETTY SCHON;

BELINDA SCHWARTZ;

COLIN STUART SCOGGINS;

DOUGLAS ALAN SEAVERS;

WILLIAM EDWARD SELLS;

WALTER SETH;

JOHN SHIM:

CHRISTOPHER SHUPE;

RICHARD SIMPLICIO;

CATHY SKINNER;

KENNETH SLOCKI;

DENNIS SMITH SR.;

SHARON SMITH;

CRAIG SPIEGEL;

JOHN SPRY SR.;

OLIVER STARBIRD;

CYNTHIA STEWART;

TRACY ANN STIFFLER;

FRED STORACI;

AMY STOTTLEMYER;

THOMAS J STRECK;

JERRY DEAN SULLIVAN;

DANIEL WILLIAM SULLIVAN;

DEBORAH SULLIVAN;

Date Filed 11/22/24

CHRISTINE COLETTE SULWER; ROBERT SURLOW; ANDREA TACKETT; MICHAEL ANGEL TAVITAS; TASHA TAYLOR; **JAMES TERHARK; DAVID TERP**; **RUBY TERRASAS**; **RANDALL J THOMAS**; TONEY L. THREATT; J'WANA LEE TORIAN; **NORMAN TRITTON; DENNIS TURNER:** RICKY TURNER; ANTOINETTE VALENCIA; **SANDRA ROSE VALENCIA: ERASTO VALLE;** RICHARD E. VAN TASSELL III; **JEROME VARES;** RICHARD ANTHONY VENTRESCO; **EMILY HACKETT WALKER;** JASON WALKER; STEVEN WALSH; **ALICA WALTERS;** MICHAEL A. WALTERS SR.; THERESA HODGES WARD: **OTIS WARREN: CRAIG WASHINGTON; TONY WEAVER;** TERRANCE JOHN WEBSTER; MICHAEL WEISSMAN; **NANCY WELLS;** JAMES WILKINSON; LLEWELLYN WILLIAMSON III;

Plaintiffs,

v.

3M COMPANY (f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company); AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC.; **AMEREX CORPORATION:** ARCHROMA U.S. INC.;

TABITHA WILSON; **HOWARD WOLF**;

KRISTY YARNELL; BRIAN JESSE YOUNG,

GEORGE A. WOODMAN;

LOREN MICHAEL WOODWORTH;

ARKEMA, INC.; **BASF CORPORATION BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT** COMPANY; **CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION; CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS, INC.**; CHEMGUARD, INC.; CHEMICALS, INC.; **CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC; CHUBB FIRE, LTD; CLARIANT CORP.**; **CORTEVA, INC.; DAIKIN AMERICA, INC.**; **DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC.**; DU PONT DE NEMOURS INC. (f/k/a **DOWDUPONT INC.); DYNAX CORPORATION;** E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY; JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC.; KIDDE PLC; NATION FORD CHEMICAL **COMPANY**; NATIONAL FOAM, INC.; PERIMETER SOLUTIONS, LP; THE CHEMOURS COMPANY; TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS LP, as successor-in-interest to The Ansul Company; **UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION**; **UTC FIRE & SECURITY AMERICAS** CORPORATION, INC. (f/k/a GE Interlogix, Inc.),

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, by and through undersigned counsel, and alleges upon information and belief as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this action for damages for personal injury resulting from exposure to the toxic chemicals collectively known as per and polyfluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS"). PFAS

- 2. Defendants collectively designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, promoted, sold, and/or otherwise inappropriately disposed of PFAS chemicals with knowledge that it was highly toxic and bio persistent, which would expose plaintiffs to the risks associated with PFAS.
- 3. PFAS binds to proteins in the blood of humans exposed to the material and remains and persists over long periods of time. Due to their unique chemical structure, PFAS accumulates in the blood and body of exposed individuals.
- 4. PFAS are highly toxic and carcinogenic chemicals. Defendants knew, or should have known, that PFAS remain in the human body while presenting significant health risks to humans.
- 5. Plaintiffs were unaware of the dangerous PFAS in their drinking water and unaware of the toxic nature of the Defendants' PFAS in general. Plaintiffs' consumption of PFAS from Defendants' contamination and inappropriate disposal caused Plaintiffs to develop the serious medical conditions and complications alleged herein.
- 6. Through this action, Plaintiffs seek to recover compensatory and punitive damages arising out of the permanent and significant damages sustained as a direct result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS at various locations. Plaintiffs further seek injunctive, equitable, and declaratory relief arising from the same.

JURISIDICTION AND VENUE

7. The Defendants are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court on the grounds that (a) one

or more of the Defendants is a foreign corporation whose principal place of business is located in the State of Alabama; (b) one or more of the Defendants are foreign corporations that either are registered to conduct business in the State of Alabama and have actually transacted business in Alabama; and/or (c) one or more of the Defendants is a domestic corporation native to the State of Alabama.

- 8. Venue is proper pursuant to *Alabama Code Section 6-3-7* as significant events resulting in the cause of action and subsequent injuries occurred in this county.
- 9. Joinder of all parties is proper pursuant to Rule 20(a) of the *Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure*. Defendants are permissively joined in this action because the exposure, injuries, and relief requested all arise out of similar occurrences or transactions and questions of law and fact are common to all parties.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Timmie Watkins is a resident and citizen of Huntsville, Alabama. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 11. Plaintiff Timmie Watkins was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 12. Plaintiff John Robert Loricco is a resident and citizen of Arnold, Maryland. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 13. Plaintiff John Robert Loricco was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure

Date Filed 11/22/24

- 14. Plaintiff Otha Loveday is a resident and citizen of White Pine, Tennessee. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 15. Plaintiff Otha Loveday was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 16. Plaintiff Patrick Lowe is a resident and citizen of Searchlight, Nevada. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 17. Plaintiff Patrick Lowe was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 18. Plaintiff Lorenzo Lynch is a resident and citizen of Cedar Hill, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 19. Plaintiff Lorenzo Lynch was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 20. Plaintiff Leanne Marie Mackey is a resident and citizen of Negaunee, Michigan. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 21. Plaintiff Leanne Marie Mackey was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure

- 22. Plaintiff Paul Joseph Madden is a resident and citizen of Oswego, New York. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 23. Plaintiff Paul Joseph Madden was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 24. Plaintiff David Mann is a resident and citizen of Pacific, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 25. Plaintiff David Mann was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 26. Plaintiff Christopher Alan Mann is a resident and citizen of Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 27. Plaintiff Christopher Alan Mann was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 28. Plaintiff Marty Mayes is a resident and citizen of Canton, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 29. Plaintiff Marty Mayes was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

umber 1-1 Page 11 of 146

- 30. Plaintiff Paul A Mayo is a resident and citizen of Springfield, Massachusetts. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 31. Plaintiff Paul A Mayo was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 32. Plaintiff Jack Edward McCasland is a resident and citizen of Bedford, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 33. Plaintiff Jack Edward McCasland was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 34. Plaintiff Kandi McGinnie is a resident and citizen of Brookshire, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 35. Plaintiff Kandi McGinnie was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 36. Plaintiff Edward McHugh is a resident and citizen of Palm City, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 37. Plaintiff Edward McHugh was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1 Page 12 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

38. Plaintiff Teresa Medina is a resident and citizen of Middleburg, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 39. Plaintiff Teresa Medina was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 40. Plaintiff David Medina is a resident and citizen of Kissimmee, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 41. Plaintiff David Medina was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 42. Plaintiff Steve Memenga is a resident and citizen of Plainfield, Illinois. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 43. Plaintiff Steve Memenga was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 44. Plaintiff Pedro Menendez is a resident and citizen of Chicago, Illinois. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 45. Plaintiff Pedro Menendez was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 13 of 146

- 46. Plaintiff Nakitta Miller is a resident and citizen of Donaldsonville, Louisiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 47. Plaintiff Nakitta Miller was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 48. Plaintiff Tracey Miller-vanknowe is a resident and citizen of Spokane, Washington. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 49. Plaintiff Tracey Miller-vanknowe was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- Plaintiff Fernando Montanez is a resident and citizen of Trabuco Canyon, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 51. Plaintiff Fernando Montanez was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- Plaintiff Michael Forrest Moore is a resident and citizen of Rio Rancho, New Mexico. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 53. Plaintiff Michael Forrest Moore was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of

exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

- 54. Plaintiff Nicholas Moore is a resident and citizen of Florissant, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 55. Plaintiff Nicholas Moore was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 56. Plaintiff Duane Lee Moore is a resident and citizen of Noblesville, Indiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 57. Plaintiff Duane Lee Moore was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 58. Plaintiff William Morales is a resident and citizen of Fayetteville, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 59. Plaintiff William Morales was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 60. Plaintiff John D. Mullen is a resident and citizen of Austin, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 61. Plaintiff John D. Mullen was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 62. Plaintiff Rose Mullins is a resident and citizen of Chino Valley, Arizona. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 63. Plaintiff Rose Mullins was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 64. Plaintiff Glenn C. Neilson is a resident and citizen of Ferron, Utah. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 65. Plaintiff Glenn C. Neilson was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 66. Plaintiff Lorna Newton is a resident and citizen of Grandview, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 67. Plaintiff Lorna Newton was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 68. Plaintiff William Newton is a resident and citizen of Avon Park, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 69. Plaintiff William Newton was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

- 70. Plaintiff George Nichols is a resident and citizen of Weymouth, Massachusetts. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 71. Plaintiff George Nichols was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 72. Plaintiff Jason Lee Nobles is a resident and citizen of Cerro Gordo, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 73. Plaintiff Jason Lee Nobles was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 74. Plaintiff Benjamin Nutall is a resident and citizen of Salt Lake City, Utah. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 75. Plaintiff Benjamin Nutall was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 76. Plaintiff Dwight Onley is a resident and citizen of College Park, Maryland. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 77. Plaintiff Dwight Onley was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

ry Number 1-1 Page 17 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

78. Plaintiff Shirley Papa is a resident and citizen of Portland, Oregon. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 79. Plaintiff Shirley Papa was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 80. Plaintiff Thomas Parker is a resident and citizen of Savannah, Tennessee. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 81. Plaintiff Thomas Parker was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 82. Plaintiff Marcus Parker is a resident and citizen of Rialto, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 83. Plaintiff Marcus Parker was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 84. Plaintiff Jose Luis Patlan is a resident and citizen of Modesto, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 85. Plaintiff Jose Luis Patlan was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 18 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

86. Plaintiff Raymond Patrick is a resident and citizen of Wilmington, Delaware. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 87. Plaintiff Raymond Patrick was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 88. Plaintiff Emily Pate Pearson is a resident and citizen of Raeford, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 89. Plaintiff Emily Pate Pearson was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 90. Plaintiff Pamela Pederson is a resident and citizen of Butte, Montana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 91. Plaintiff Pamela Pederson was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 92. Plaintiff Dennis Perine is a resident and citizen of Wright City, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 93. Plaintiff Dennis Perine was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 94. Plaintiff Scott Peterson is a resident and citizen of Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 95. Plaintiff Scott Peterson was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 96. Plaintiff Cheron Phelps is a resident and citizen of Saint Petersburg, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 97. Plaintiff Cheron Phelps was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 98. Plaintiff Eric Pierce is a resident and citizen of Springfield, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 99. Plaintiff Eric Pierce was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 100. Plaintiff Michael Lee Pine is a resident and citizen of Cibolo, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 101. Plaintiff Michael Lee Pine was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 102. Plaintiff Dawn Plunkett is a resident and citizen of Oakhurst, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 103. Plaintiff Dawn Plunkett was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 104. Plaintiff Tina S Ponce is a resident and citizen of Odessa, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 105. Plaintiff Tina S Ponce was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 106. Plaintiff Tina Rosa Poynter is a resident and citizen of Fayetteville, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 107. Plaintiff Tina Rosa Poynter was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 108. Plaintiff Larry Pratt is a resident and citizen of High Point, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 109. Plaintiff Larry Pratt was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24

Entry Number 1-1 Page 21 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

110. Plaintiff Ronald Price is a resident and citizen of Carrollton, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

111. Plaintiff Ronald Price was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

112. Plaintiff Luther Ray Jr. is a resident and citizen of Buckeye, Arizona. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

113. Plaintiff Luther Ray Jr. was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

114. Plaintiff Todd Steven Rector is a resident and citizen of Cape Coral, Florida. Plaintiff

was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work,

due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

115. Plaintiff Todd Steven Rector was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure

to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

Plaintiff Shaun Andrew Regan is a resident and citizen of Marana, Arizona. Plaintiff 116.

was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work,

due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

Plaintiff Shaun Andrew Regan was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure 117.

Date Filed 11/22/24

- 118. Plaintiff Sandra Reid-Delotch is a resident and citizen of Rochester, New York. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 119. Plaintiff Sandra Reid-Delotch was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 120. Plaintiff Raleigh Richardson is a resident and citizen of Flint, Michigan. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 121. Plaintiff Raleigh Richardson was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 122. Plaintiff Kenneth Ricks is a resident and citizen of Cahokia, Illinois. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- Plaintiff Kenneth Ricks was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to 123. Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 124. Plaintiff Lonnie Rininger is a resident and citizen of Palmdale, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- Plaintiff Lonnie Rininger was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to 125.

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1 Page 23 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

126. Plaintiff Freddie Roberson is a resident and citizen of Macon, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

127. Plaintiff Freddie Roberson was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

128. Plaintiff Walter Roberts is a resident and citizen of Portland, Oregon. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

129. Plaintiff Walter Roberts was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

130. Plaintiff John Robertson is a resident and citizen of Huffman, Texas. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

131. Plaintiff John Robertson was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

132. Plaintiff Mark Rockwood is a resident and citizen of Middletown, Ohio. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

133. Plaintiff Mark Rockwood was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

- 134. Plaintiff Amalia Rodriguez is a resident and citizen of Ponce, Puerto Rico. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 135. Plaintiff Amalia Rodriguez was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 136. Plaintiff Barbara Roles is a resident and citizen of Sweet Home, Oregon. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 137. Plaintiff Barbara Roles was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 138. Plaintiff Carlos Roman is a resident and citizen of Wilson, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 139. Plaintiff Carlos Roman was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 140. Plaintiff Heather Elizabeth Rugh is a resident and citizen of San Diego, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 141. Plaintiff Heather Elizabeth Rugh was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 25 of 146

exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

- 142. Plaintiff John Ruiz is a resident and citizen of Houston, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 143. Plaintiff John Ruiz was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 144. Plaintiff Gerald Ruter is a resident and citizen of Bellevue, Michigan. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 145. Plaintiff Gerald Ruter was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 146. Plaintiff Michael John Salay is a resident and citizen of Fort Myers, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 147. Plaintiff Michael John Salay was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 148. Plaintiff Tharry Salley is a resident and citizen of Charlotte, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 149. Plaintiff Tharry Salley was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 150. Plaintiff Michael Andre Samuels is a resident and citizen of Riverside, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 151. Plaintiff Michael Andre Samuels was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 152. Plaintiff Les Schneider is a resident and citizen of Durand, Michigan. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 153. Plaintiff Les Schneider was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 154. Plaintiff Betty Schon is a resident and citizen of Cocoa, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 155. Plaintiff Betty Schon was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 156. Plaintiff Belinda Schwartz is a resident and citizen of Rio Grande City, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 157. Plaintiff Belinda Schwartz was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

ry Number 1-1 Page 27 of 146

- 158. Plaintiff Colin Stuart Scoggins is a resident and citizen of Nashua, New Hampshire. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 159. Plaintiff Colin Stuart Scoggins was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 160. Plaintiff Douglas Alan Seavers is a resident and citizen of Dale, Indiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 161. Plaintiff Douglas Alan Seavers was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 162. Plaintiff William Edward Sells is a resident and citizen of Noblesville, Indiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 163. Plaintiff William Edward Sells was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 164. Plaintiff Walter Seth is a resident and citizen of Bonaire, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 165. Plaintiff Walter Seth was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 28 of 146

- 166. Plaintiff John Shim is a resident and citizen of Port Saint Lucie, Florida. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 167. Plaintiff John Shim was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 168. Plaintiff Christopher Shupe is a resident and citizen of Atkins, Virginia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 169. Plaintiff Christopher Shupe was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 170. Plaintiff Richard Simplicio is a resident and citizen of Gatesville, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 171. Plaintiff Richard Simplicio was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 172. Plaintiff Cathy Skinner is a resident and citizen of Shreveport, Louisiana. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 173. Plaintiff Cathy Skinner was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

174. Plaintiff Kenneth Slocki is a resident and citizen of Galveston, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

175. Plaintiff Kenneth Slocki was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

176. Plaintiff Dennis Smith Sr. is a resident and citizen of Sicklerville, New Jersey. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 177. Plaintiff Dennis Smith Sr. was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 178. Plaintiff Sharon Smith is a resident and citizen of Loma Linda, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 179. Plaintiff Sharon Smith was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 180. Plaintiff Craig Spiegel is a resident and citizen of Temple, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 181. Plaintiff Craig Spiegel was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 182. Plaintiff John Spry Sr. is a resident and citizen of Richmond Hill, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 183. Plaintiff John Spry Sr. was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 184. Plaintiff Oliver Starbird is a resident and citizen of Nahunta, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 185. Plaintiff Oliver Starbird was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 186. Plaintiff Cynthia Stewart is a resident and citizen of Euless, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 187. Plaintiff Cynthia Stewart was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 188. Plaintiff Tracy Ann Stiffler is a resident and citizen of New Florence, Pennsylvania. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 189. Plaintiff Tracy Ann Stiffler was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to

- 190. Plaintiff Fred Storaci is a resident and citizen of Trenton, New Jersey. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 191. Plaintiff Fred Storaci was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 192. Plaintiff Amy Stottlemyer is a resident and citizen of Bancroft, Nebraska. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 193. Plaintiff Amy Stottlemyer was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 194. Plaintiff Thomas J Streck is a resident and citizen of Rockton, Illinois. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 195. Plaintiff Thomas J Streck was diagnosed with liver cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 196. Plaintiff Jerry Dean Sullivan is a resident and citizen of Winsted, Connecticut. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 197. Plaintiff Jerry Dean Sullivan was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure

- 198. Plaintiff Daniel William Sullivan is a resident and citizen of Appling, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 199. Plaintiff Daniel William Sullivan was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 200. Plaintiff Deborah Sullivan is a resident and citizen of Aransas Pass, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 201. Plaintiff Deborah Sullivan was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 202. Plaintiff Christine Colette Sulwer is a resident and citizen of Williams, Arizona. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 203. Plaintiff Christine Colette Sulwer was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 204. Plaintiff Robert Surlow is a resident and citizen of Castaic, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 205. Plaintiff Robert Surlow was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 206. Plaintiff Andrea Tackett is a resident and citizen of Harrisburg, Ohio. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 207. Plaintiff Andrea Tackett was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 208. Plaintiff Michael Angel Tavitas is a resident and citizen of San Antonio, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 209. Plaintiff Michael Angel Tavitas was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 210. Plaintiff Tasha Taylor is a resident and citizen of Columbia, South Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 211. Plaintiff Tasha Taylor was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 212. Plaintiff James Terhark is a resident and citizen of Rockford, Illinois. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 213. Plaintiff James Terhark was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24

Entry Number 1-1

Page 34 of 146

- 214. Plaintiff David Terp is a resident and citizen of Sachse, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 215. Plaintiff David Terp was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 216. Plaintiff Ruby Terrasas is a resident and citizen of Citrus Heights, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 217. Plaintiff Ruby Terrasas was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- Plaintiff Randall J Thomas is a resident and citizen of Mt. Pleasant, Wisconsin. Plaintiff 218. was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 219. Plaintiff Randall J Thomas was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 220. Plaintiff Toney L. Threatt is a resident and citizen of Grovetown, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 221. Plaintiff Toney L. Threatt was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure

- 222. Plaintiff J'Wana Lee Torian is a resident and citizen of Columbia, South Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 223. Plaintiff J'Wana Lee Torian was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 224. Plaintiff Norman Tritton is a resident and citizen of Adairsville, Georgia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 225. Plaintiff Norman Tritton was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 226. Plaintiff Dennis Turner is a resident and citizen of Darlington, South Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 227. Plaintiff Dennis Turner was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 228. Plaintiff Ricky Turner is a resident and citizen of Dumfries, Virginia. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 229. Plaintiff Ricky Turner was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

- 230. Plaintiff Antoinette Valencia is a resident and citizen of Ontario, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 231. Plaintiff Antoinette Valencia was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 232. Plaintiff Sandra Rose Valencia is a resident and citizen of Ontario, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 233. Plaintiff Sandra Rose Valencia was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 234. Plaintiff Erasto Valle is a resident and citizen of Modesto, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 235. Plaintiff Erasto Valle was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 236. Plaintiff Richard E. Van Tassell III is a resident and citizen of Hickory Flat, Mississippi. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 237. Plaintiff Richard E. Van Tassell III was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-3

Entry Number 1-1 Page 37 of 146

exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

- 238. Plaintiff Jerome Vares is a resident and citizen of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 239. Plaintiff Jerome Vares was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 240. Plaintiff Richard Anthony Ventresco is a resident and citizen of Gaston, South Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 241. Plaintiff Richard Anthony Ventresco was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 242. Plaintiff Emily Hackett Walker is a resident and citizen of San Antonio, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 243. Plaintiff Emily Hackett Walker was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 244. Plaintiff Jason Walker is a resident and citizen of Forest Hill, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 245. Plaintiff Jason Walker was diagnosed with testicular cancer as a result of exposure to

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1 Page 38 of 146

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

246. Plaintiff Steven Walsh is a resident and citizen of Las Vegas, Nevada. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

247. Plaintiff Steven Walsh was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

248. Plaintiff Alica Walters is a resident and citizen of Detroit, Michigan. Plaintiff was

exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due

to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

249. Plaintiff Alica Walters was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to

Defendants' PFAS contamination.

250. Plaintiff Michael A. Walters Sr. is a resident and citizen of Goose Creek, South

Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at

their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF

sources.

251. Plaintiff Michael A. Walters Sr. was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of

exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.

252. Plaintiff Theresa Hodges Ward is a resident and citizen of Pensacola, Florida. Plaintiff

was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work,

due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 253. Plaintiff Theresa Hodges Ward was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 254. Plaintiff Otis Warren is a resident and citizen of Bakersfield, California. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 255. Plaintiff Otis Warren was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 256. Plaintiff Craig Washington is a resident and citizen of Florence, South Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 257. Plaintiff Craig Washington was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 258. Plaintiff Tony Weaver is a resident and citizen of Wilmer, Alabama. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 259. Plaintiff Tony Weaver was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 260. Plaintiff Terrance John Webster is a resident and citizen of Milaca, Minnesota. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 261. Plaintiff Terrance John Webster was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 262. Plaintiff Michael Weissman is a resident and citizen of Spring House, Pennsylvania. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 263. Plaintiff Michael Weissman was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 264. Plaintiff Nancy Wells is a resident and citizen of De Queen, Arkansas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 265. Plaintiff Nancy Wells was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 266. Plaintiff James Wilkinson is a resident and citizen of Cincinnati, Ohio. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 267. Plaintiff James Wilkinson was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 268. Plaintiff Llewellyn Williamson III is a resident and citizen of Desoto, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Numbe

Entry Number 1-1 Page 41 of 146

- 269. Plaintiff Llewellyn Williamson III was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 270. Plaintiff Tabitha Wilson is a resident and citizen of Raleigh, North Carolina. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 271. Plaintiff Tabitha Wilson was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 272. Plaintiff Howard Wolf is a resident and citizen of Dixon, Missouri. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 273. Plaintiff Howard Wolf was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 274. Plaintiff George A. Woodman is a resident and citizen of Pocatello, Idaho. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 275. Plaintiff George A. Woodman was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 276. Plaintiff Loren Michael Woodworth is a resident and citizen of Clearfield, Utah. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.

- 277. Plaintiff Loren Michael Woodworth was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 278. Plaintiff Kristy Yarnell is a resident and citizen of Chambersburg, Pennsylvania. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 279. Plaintiff Kristy Yarnell was diagnosed with thyroid cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 280. Plaintiff Brian Jesse Young is a resident and citizen of Irving, Texas. Plaintiff was exposed to PFAS chemicals through drinking water both at home and at their place of work, due to contamination on behalf of the defendants, and potential AFFF sources.
- 281. Plaintiff Brian Jesse Young was diagnosed with kidney cancer as a result of exposure to Defendants' PFAS contamination.
- 282. Defendant, 3M Company, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, ("3M"), is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. 3M has its principal place of business at 3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55133.
- 283. 3M designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1 Page 43 of 146

contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

284. Defendant AGC Chemicals Americas, Inc. ("AGC") is a Delaware corporation and does

business throughout the United States. AGC has its principal place of business at 55 E. Uwchlan

Ave., Suite 201, Exton, Pennsylvania 19341.

285. AGC designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users,

produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF

containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the

subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured,

distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or

otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which

contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

286. Defendant Amerex Corporation ("Amerex") is an Alabama corporation and does

business throughout the United States. Amerex has its principal place of business at 7595

Gadsden Highway, Trussville, Alabama 35173.

287. Amerex designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained

users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used

AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are

the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured,

distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or

otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which

contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

Date Filed 11/22/24

Entry Number 1-1

Page 44 of 146

- 288. Defendant Archroma U.S. Inc. ("Archroma") is a North Carolina company and does business throughout the United States. Archroma has its principal place of business at 5435 77 Center Drive, #10 Charlotte, North Carolina 28217. Upon information and belief, Archroma was formed in 2013 as part of the acquisition of Clariant Corporation's Textile Chemicals, Paper Specialties and Emulsions business by SK Capital Partners.
- 289. Archroma designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 290. Defendant Arkema, Inc. ("Arkema") is a Pennsylvania corporation and does business throughout the United States. Arkema has its principal place of business at 900 1st Avenue, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406. Upon information and belief, assets of Arkema's fluorochemical business were purchased by Defendant Dupont in 2002.
- 291. Arkema designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which

- 292. Defendant BASF Corporation ("BASF") is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. BASF has its principal place of business at 100 Park Avenue, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932.
- 293. BASF designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 294. Defendant Buckeye Fire Equipment Company ("Buckeye") is an Ohio corporation and does business throughout the United States. Buckeye has its principal place of business at 110 Kings Road, Mountain, North Carolina 28086.
- 295. Buckeye designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 296. Defendant Carrier Global Corporation ("Carrier") is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. Carrier has its principal place of business at 13995 Pasteur Boulevard, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418. Upon information and belief, Carrier was formed in 2020 and is the parent company of Kidde-Fenwal, Inc., a manufacturer of AFFF.
- 297. Carrier designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 298. Defendant ChemDesign Products, Inc. ("ChemDesign") is a Texas corporation and does business throughout the United States. ChemDesign has its principal place of business at 2 Stanton Street, Marinette, Wisconsin 54143.
- 299. ChemDesign designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 300. Defendant Chemguard, Inc. ("Chemguard") is a Wisconsin corporation and does

business throughout the United States. Chemguard has its principal place of business at One Stanton Street, Marinette, Wisconsin 54143.

- 301. Chemguard designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 302. Defendant Chemicals, Inc. ("Chemicals") is a Texas corporation and does business throughout the United States. Chemicals has its principal place of business at 12321 Hatcherville Road, Baytown, Texas 77521.
- 303. Chemicals designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 304. Defendant Chemours Company FC, LLC ("Chemours FC"), is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. Chemours has its principal place of business at 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19899. Chemours FC is a subsidiary of The

Chemours Company.

Chemours FC designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, 305. trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used

AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed,

marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional

materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or

products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

306. Defendant Chubb Fire, Ltd. ("Chubb") is a foreign private limited company, with offices

at Littleton Road, Ashford, Middlesex, United Kingdom TW15 1TZ. Upon information and

belief, Chubb is registered in the United Kingdom with a registered number of 134210. Upon

information and belief, Chubb is or has been composed of different subsidiaries and/or divisions,

including but not limited to, Chubb Fire & Security Ltd., Chubb Security, PLC, Red Hawk Fire

& Security, LLC, and/or Chubb National Foam, Inc.

307. Chubb Fire designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained

users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF

containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed,

developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials,

promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products

added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

308. Defendant Clariant Corporation ("Clariant") is a New York corporation and does

business throughout the United States. Clariant has its principal place of business at 4000

Monroe Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28205.

- 309. Clariant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 310. Defendant Corteva, Inc. ("Corteva") is a Delaware Corporation that conducts business throughout the United States. Its principal place of business is Chestnut Run Plaza 735, Wilmington, Delaware 19805. Corteva is the successor-in-interest to Dupont Chemical Solutions Enterprise.
- 311. Corteva designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 312. Defendant Daikin America, Inc. ("Daikin") is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. PBI has its principal place of business in Orangeburg, New York.
- Daikin designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained 313. users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are

the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 314. Defendant Deepwater Chemicals, Inc. ("Deepwater") is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. Deepwater's principal place of business is at 196122 E County Road 735, Woodward, Oklahoma 73801.
- 315. Deepwater designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- Defendant Du Pont de Nemours Inc. (f/k/a DowDuPont, Inc.) ("DowDuPont"), is a 316. Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. DowDuPont, has its principal place of business at 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 and 2211 H.H. Dow Way, Midland, Michigan 48674. DowDupont was created in 2015 to transfer Chemours and DuPont liabilities for manufacturing and distributing flurosurfactants to AFFF manufacturers.
- 317. DowDuPont designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF

containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 318. Defendant Dynax Corporation ("Dynax") is a New York corporation that conducts business throughout the United States. Its principal place of business is 103 Fairview Park Drive, Elmsford, New York, 10523-1544.
- 319. Dynax designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 320. Defendant E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. DuPont has its principal place of business at 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19898.
- 321. DuPont designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 322. Defendant Johnson Controls, Inc. ("Johnson Controls") is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. PBI has its principal place of business in Milwaukee Wisconsin.
- 323. Johnson Controls designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 324. Defendant Kidde P.L.C., Inc. ("Kidde P.L.C.") is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and does business throughout the United States. Kidde P.L.C. has its principal place of business at One Carrier Place, Farmington, Connecticut 06034. Upon information and belief, Kidde PLC was formerly known as Williams Holdings, Inc. and/or Williams US, Inc.
- 325. Kidde P.L.C. designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 326. Defendant Nation Ford Chemical Company ("Nation Ford") is a South Carolina company and does business throughout the United States. Nation Ford has its principal place of

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1 Page 53 of 146

business at 2300 Banks Street, Fort Mill, South Carolina 29715.

327. Nation Ford designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained

users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF

containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed,

developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials,

promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products

added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

328. Defendant National Foam, Inc. ("National Foam") is a Delaware corporation and does

business throughout the United States. National Foam has its principal place of business at 141

Junny Road, Angier, North Carolina, 27501.

329. National Foam designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released,

trained users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF

containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the

subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured,

distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or

otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which

contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

330. Defendant Perimeter Solutions, LP ("Perimeter") is a Delaware corporation and does

business throughout the United States. PBI has its principal place of business in Rancho

Cucamonga, California.

331. Perimeter designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained

users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 332. Defendant The Chemours Company ("Chemours"), is a Delaware corporation and does business throughout the United States. Chemours has its principal place of business 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19898. Upon information and belief, Chemours was spun off from DuPont in 2015 to assume PFAS related liabilities.
- 333. Chemours designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 334. Defendant Tyco Fire Products, LP, as successor-in-interest to The Ansul Company ("Tyco"), is a Delaware limited partnership and does business throughout the United States. Tyco has its principal place of business at 1400 Pennbrook Parkway, Lansdale, Pennsylvania 19466. Tyco manufactured and currently manufactures the Ansul brand of products, including Ansul brand AFFF containing PFAS.
- 335. Tyco is the successor in interest to the corporation formerly known as The Ansul

Company ("Ansul"). At all times relevant, Tyco/Ansul designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.

- 336. Defendant United Technologies Corporation ("United Technologies") is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and does business throughout the United States. United Technologies has its principal place of business at 8 Farm Springs Road, Farmington, Connecticut 06032.
- 337. United Technologies designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 338. Defendant UTC Fire & Security Americas Corporation, Inc. (f/k/a GE Interlogix, Inc.) ("UTC") is a North Carolina corporation and does business throughout the United States. UTC has principal place of business at 3211 Progress Drive, Lincolnton, North Carolina 28092. Upon information and belief, Kidde-Fenwal, Inc. is part of the UTC Climate Control & Security unit of United Technologies Corporation.

- 339. UTC designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, sold, and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are the subject of this Complaint. Further, defendant designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used underlying chemicals and/or products added to AFFF which contained PFAS for use in firefighting.
- 340. When reference is made in this Complaint to any act or omission of any of the Defendants, it shall be deemed that the officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives of the Defendants committed or authorized such act or omission, or failed to adequately supervise or properly control or direct their employees while engaged in the management, direction, operation, or control of the affairs of Defendants, and did so while acting within the scope of their duties, employment or agency.
- 341. The term "AFFF Defendant" or "AFFF Defendants" refers to all Defendants named herein who designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released, trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold and/or otherwise handled and/or used AFFF containing PFAS that are used in firefighting training and response exercises which are the subject of this complaint, jointly and severally, unless otherwise stated.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 342. Aqueous Film-Forming Foam ("AFFF") is a combination of chemicals used to extinguish hydrocarbon fuel-based fires.
- 343. AFFF-containing fluorinated surfactants have better firefighting capabilities than water due to their surfactant-tension lowering properties which allow the compound(s) to extinguish

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

fire by smothering, ultimately starving it of oxygen.

344. AFFF is a Class-B firefighting foam. It is mixed with water and used to extinguish fires

that are difficult to fight, particularly those that involve petroleum or other flammable liquids.

345. AFFF Defendants designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed, released,

trained users, produced instructional materials, promoted, sold, and/or otherwise handled AFFF

containing toxic PFAS or underlying PFAS containing chemicals used in AFFF production that

were used by entities around the country, including military, county, and municipal firefighting

departments.

346. AFFF Defendants have each designed, marketed, developed, manufactured, distributed,

released, trained users on, produced instructional materials for, sold, and/or otherwise handled

and/or used AFFF containing PFAS, in such a way as to cause the contamination of Plaintiffs'

blood and/or body with PFAS, and the resultant biopersistence and bioaccumulation of such

PFAS in the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs.

347. AFFF was introduced commercially in the mid-1960s and rapidly became the primary

firefighting foam in the United States and in other parts of the world. It contains PFAS, which

are highly fluorinated synthetic chemical compounds whose family include PFOS and PFOA.

348. PFAS are a family of chemical compounds containing fluorine and carbon atoms.

349. PFAS have been used for decades in the manufacture of AFFF. The PFAS family of

chemicals are entirely human-made and do not naturally occur or otherwise exist.

350. Prior to commercial development and large-scale manufacture and use of AFFF containing PFAS, no such PFAS had been found or detected in human blood.

Date Filed 11/22/24

A. AFFF / PFAS Hazardous Effects on Humans

- 351. AFFF and its components are associated with a wide variety of adverse health effects in humans.
- 352. Exposure to AFFF Defendants' products has been linked to serious medical conditions including, but not limited to, kidney cancer, testicular cancer, liver cancer, testicular tumors, pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer, leukemia, lymphoma, bladder cancer, thyroid disease and infertility.
- 353. By at least the end of the 1960s, animal toxicity testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS indicated that exposure to such materials, including at least PFOA, resulted in various adverse health effects among multiple species of laboratory animals, including toxic effects to the liver, testes, adrenals, and other organs and bodily systems.
- 354. By at least the end of the 1960s, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS indicated that such materials, including at least PFOA, because of their unique chemical structure, were resistant to environmental degradation and would persist in the environment essentially unaltered if allowed to enter the environment.
- 355. By at least the end of the 1970s, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS indicated that one or more such materials, including at least PFOA and PFOS, because of their unique chemical structure, would bind to proteins in the blood of animals and humans exposed to such materials where such materials would remain and persist over long periods of time and would accumulate in the blood/body of

the exposed individuals with each additional exposure.

- 356. By at least the end of the 1980s, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS indicated that at least one such PFAS, PFOA, had caused Leydig cell (testicular) tumors in a chronic cancer study in rats, resulting in at least one such Defendant, DuPont, classifying such PFAS internally as a confirmed animal carcinogen and possible human carcinogen.
- 357. It was understood by AFFF Defendants by at least the end of the 1980s that a chemical that caused cancer in animal studies must be presumed to present a cancer risk to humans, unless the precise mechanism of action by which the tumors were caused was known and would not occur in humans.
- 358. By at least the end of the 1980s, scientists had not determined the precise mechanism of action by which any PFAS caused tumors. Therefore, scientific principles of carcinogenesis classification mandated AFFF Defendants presume any such PFAS material that caused tumors in animal studies could present a potential cancer risk to exposed humans.
- 359. By at least the end of the 1980s, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS, including at least DuPont, indicated that elevated incidence of certain cancers and other adverse health effects, including elevated liver enzymes and birth defects, had been observed among workers exposed to such materials, including at least PFOA, but such data was not published, provided to governmental entities as required by law, or otherwise publicly disclosed at the time.
- 360. By at least the end of the 1980s, some Defendants, including at least 3M and DuPont,

understood that, not only did PFAS, including at least PFOA and PFOS, get into and persist and accumulate in the human blood and in the human body, but that once in the human body and blood, particularly the longer-chain PFAS, such as PFOS and PFOA, had a long half-life. Meaning that it would take a very long time before even half of the material would start to be eliminated, which allowed increasing levels of the chemicals to build up and accumulate in the blood and/or body of exposed individuals over time, particularly if any level of exposure continued.

- 361. By at least the end of the 1990s, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS, including at least 3M and DuPont, indicated that at least one such PFAS, PFOA, had caused a triad of tumors (Leydig cell (testicular), liver, and pancreatic) in a second chronic cancer study in rats.
- 362. By at least the end of the 1990s, the precise mechanism(s) of action by which any PFAS caused each of the tumors found in animal studies had still not been identified, mandating that AFFF Defendants continue to presume that any such PFAS that caused such tumors in animal studies could present a potential cancer risk to exposed humans.
- 363. By at least 2010, additional research and testing performed by some Defendants manufacturing and/or using PFAS, including at least 3M and DuPont, revealed multiple potential adverse health impacts among workers exposed to such PFAS, including at least PFOA, such as increased cancer incidence, hormone changes, lipid changes, and thyroid and liver impacts.
- 364. When the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") and other state and local public health agencies and officials first began learning of PFAS exposure in the

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Entry Number 1-1 Page 61 of 146

United States and potential associated adverse health effects, AFFF Defendants repeatedly assured and represented to such entities and the public that such exposure presented no risk of harm and were of no significance.

- 365. After the USEPA and other entities began asking Defendants to stop manufacturing and/or using certain PFAS, AFFF Defendants began manufacturing and/or using and/or began making and/or using more of certain other and/or "new" PFAS, including PFAS materials with six or fewer carbons, such as GenX (collectively "Short-Chain PFAS").
- 366. AFFF Defendants manufacturing and/or using Short-Chain PFAS, including at least DuPont and 3M, are aware that one or more such Short-Chain PFAS materials also have been found in human blood.
- 367. By at least the mid-2010s, AFFF Defendants, including at least DuPont and Chemours, were aware that at least one Short-Chain PFAS had been found to cause the same triad of tumors (Leydig (testicular), liver, and pancreatic) in a chronic rat cancer study as had been found in a chronic rat cancer study with a non-Short-Chain PFAS.
- 368. Research and testing performed by and/or on behalf of AFFF Defendants making and/or using Short-Chain PFAS indicates that such Short-Chain PFAS materials present the same, similar, and/or additional risks to human health as had been found in research on other PFAS materials, including cancer risk.
- 369. Nevertheless, AFFF Defendants repeatedly assured and represented to governmental entities and the public (and continue to do so) that the presence of PFAS, including Short-Chain PFAS, in human blood at the levels found within the United States present no risk of harm and

is of no legal, toxicological, or medical significance of any kind.

370. At all relevant times, AFFF Defendants, individually and/or collectively, possessed the resources and ability but have intentionally, purposefully, recklessly, and/or negligently chosen not to fund or sponsor any study, investigation, testing, and/or other research of any kind of the nature that AFFF Defendants claim is necessary to confirm and/or prove that the presence of any one and/or combination of PFAS in human blood causes any disease and/or adverse health impact of any kind in humans, presents any risk of harm to humans, and/or is of any legal, toxicological, or medical significance to humans, according to standards AFFF Defendants deem acceptable.

371. Even after an independent science panel, known as the "C8 Science Panel," publicly announced in the 2010s that human exposure to 0.05 parts per billion or more of one PFAS, PFOA, had "probable links" with certain human diseases, including kidney cancer, testicular cancer, ulcerative colitis, thyroid disease, preeclampsia, and medically-diagnosed high cholesterol, AFFF Defendants repeatedly assured and represented to governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so) that the presence of PFAS in human blood at the levels found within the United States presents no risk of harm and is of no legal, toxicological, or medical significance of any kind, and have represented to and assured such governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so) that the work of the independent C8 Science Panel was inadequate.

372. At all relevant times, AFFF Defendants shared and/or should have shared among themselves all relevant information relating to the presence, biopersistence, and bioaccumulation of PFAS in human blood and associated toxicological, epidemiological, and/or other adverse effects and/or risks.

- 373. As of the present date, blood serum testing and analysis by AFFF Defendants, independent scientific researchers, and/or government entities has confirmed that PFAS materials are clinically demonstrably present in approximately 99% of the current population of the United States.
- 374. There is no naturally-occurring "background," normal, and/or acceptable level or rate of any PFAS in human blood, as all PFAS detected and/or present in human blood is present and/or detectable in such blood as a direct and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants.
- At all relevant times, Defendants, through their acts and/or omissions, controlled, minimized, trivialized, manipulated, and/or otherwise influenced the information that was published in peer-review journals, released by any governmental entity, and/or otherwise made available to the public relating to PFAS in human blood and any alleged adverse impacts and/or risks associated therewith, effectively preventing Plaintiffs from discovering the existence and extent of any injuries/harm as alleged herein.
- At all relevant times, Defendants, through their acts and/or omissions, took steps to 376. attack, challenge, discredit, and/or otherwise undermine any scientific studies, findings, statements, and/or other information that proposed, alleged, suggested, or even implied any potential adverse health effects or risks and/or any other fact of any legal, toxicological, or medical significance associated with the presence of PFAS in human blood.
- 377. At all relevant times, Defendants, through their acts and/or omissions, concealed and/or withheld information from their customers, governmental entities, and the public that would

Page 64 of 146

have properly and fully alerted Plaintiffs to the legal, toxicological, medical, or other significance and/or risk from having any PFAS material in Plaintiffs' blood.

- 378. At all relevant times, Defendants encouraged the continued and even further increased use of PFAS by their customers and others, including but not limited to the manufacture, use, and release, of AFFF containing PFAS and/or emergency responder protection gear or equipment coated with materials made with or containing PFAS, and tried to encourage and foster the increased and further use of PFAS in connection with as many products/uses/and applications as possible, despite knowledge of the toxicity, persistence, and bioaccumulation concerns associated with such activities.
- 379. To this day, Defendants deny that the presence of any PFAS in human blood, at anylevel, is an injury or presents any harm or risk of harm of any kind, or is otherwise of any legal, toxicological, or medical significance.
- 380. To this day, Defendants deny that any scientific study, research, testing, or other work of any kind has been performed that is sufficient to suggest to the public that the presence of any PFAS material in human blood, at any level, is of any legal, toxicological, medical, or other significance.
- 381. Defendants, to this day, affirmatively assert and represent to governmental entities, their customers, and the public that there is no evidence that any of the PFAS found in human blood across the United States causes any health impacts or is sufficient to generate an increased risk of future disease sufficient to warrant diagnostic medical testing, often referring to existing studies or data as including too few participants or too few cases or incidents of disease to draw any scientifically credible or statistically significant conclusions.

- 382. Defendants were and/or should have been aware, knew and/or should have known, and/or foresaw or should have foreseen that their design, marketing, development, manufacture, distribution, release, training and response of users, production of instructional materials, sale and/or other handling and/or use of AFFF containing PFAS would result in the contamination of the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs with PFAS, and the biopersistence and bioaccumulation of such PFAS in their blood and/or body.
- 383. Defendants were and /or should have been aware, or knew and/or should have known, and/or foresaw or should have foreseen that allowing PFAS to contaminate the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs would cause injury, irreparable harm, and/or unacceptable risk of such injury and/or irreparable harm to Plaintiffs.
- 384. Defendants did not seek or obtain permission or consent from Plaintiffs before engaging in such acts and/or omissions that caused, allowed, and/or otherwise resulted in Plaintiffs' exposure to AFFF and the contamination of Plaintiffs' blood and/or body with PFAS materials, and resulting biopersistence and bioaccumulation of such PFAS in their blood and/or body.

B. Defendants' History of Manufacturing and Selling AFFF

- 385. 3M began producing PFOS and PFOA by electrochemical fluorination in the 1940s. In the 1960s, 3M used its fluorination process to develop AFFF.
- 386. 3M manufactured, marketed, and sold AFFF from the 1960s to the early 2000s.
- 387. National Foam and Tyco/Ansul began to manufacture, market, and sell AFFF in the 1970s.
- 388. Buckeye began to manufacture, market, and sell AFFF in the 2000s.

- 389. In 2000, 3M announced it was phasing out its manufacture of PFOS, PFOA, and related products, including AFFF. 3M, in its press release announcing the phase out, stated "our products are safe," and that 3M's decision was "based on [its] principles of responsible environment management." 3M further stated that "the presence of these materials at [] very low levels does not pose a human health or environmental risk." In communications with the EPA at that time, 3M also stated that it had "concluded that…other business opportunities were more deserving of the company's energies and attention…"
- 390. Following 3M's exit from the AFFF market, the remaining AFFF Defendants continued to manufacture and sell AFFF that contained PFAS and/or its precursors.
- 391. AFFF Defendants knew their customers warehoused large stockpiles of AFFF. In fact, AFFF Defendants marketed their AFFF products by touting its shelf-life. Even after AFFF Defendants fully understood the toxicity of PFAS, and their impacts to the health of humans following exposure, AFFF Defendants concealed the true nature of PFAS. While AFFF Defendants phased out production or transitioned to other formulas, they did not instruct their customers that they should not use AFFF that contained PFAS and/or their precursors. AFFF Defendants further did not act to get their harmful products off the market.
- 392. AFFF Defendants did not warn public entities, firefighter trainees who they knew would foreseeably come into contact with their AFFF products, or firefighters employed by either civilian and/or military employers that use of and/or exposure to AFFF Defendants' products containing PFAS and/or its precursors would pose a danger to human health.
- 393. The Plaintiffs were exposed to PFAS contamination, directly through contaminated drinking water.

- 394. The Plaintiffs were never informed that the water was dangerous. Nor were the Plaintiffs warned about the known health risks associated with Defendants' PFAS chemicals.
- 395. The Plaintiffs never received instruction to avoid drinking the water.
- 396. Defendants have known of the health hazards associated with PFAS and/or its compounds for decades and that in their intended and/or common use would harm human health.
- 397. Information regarding PFAS and its compounds were readily accessible to the Defendants for decades because each is an expert in the field of PFAS manufacturing and/or the materials needed to manufacture PFAS, and each has detailed information and understanding about the chemical compounds that form PFAS products.
- 398. Defendants' manufacture, storage, and release of PFAS resulted in the Plaintiffs and other individuals who came in contact with the chemicals to develop cancer.
- 399. The Defendants, through their manufacturing, storing, and inappropriate releases of PFAS, knew, foresaw, and/or should have known and/or foreseen that the Plaintiffs and those similarly situated would be harmed.
- 400. The Defendants' products were unreasonably dangerous, and the Defendants failed to warn of this danger.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE

- 401. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 402. Negligence may exist both as an omission as well as an affirmative act. A claim of

negligence allows for the recovery for an injury that was proximately caused by another's violation of a duty of reasonable care.

- 403. Here, the Defendants, as owners and operators of business(es) at sites that managed, stored, used and disposed of toxic contaminants and solvents, owed Plaintiffs a cognizable duty to exercise reasonable care in the storage, transportation, and disposal of toxic chemicals including but not limited to the Contaminants, and in the maintenance of their tools and equipment used for such acts.
- 404. Defendants breached their duty of reasonable care which a reasonably prudent person should use under the circumstances by causing and/or allowing and/or failing to prevent the releases of PFAS chemical into the water in and around the sites and the surrounding neighborhoods, where they caused toxic exposure to Plaintiffs and the contamination of their homes.
- 405. The releases of PFAS and PFAS byproducts into groundwater and drinking water is the proximate and legal cause of the injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs to their health and wellbeing and to their properties and the adjacent properties.
- Defendants breached that duty by failing to timely notify the Plaintiffs of the releases of 406. PFAS.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, the Plaintiffs have been 407. injured, sustained severe and permanent pain, suffering, disability, impairment, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of care, comfort, economic loss and damages including, but not limited to medical expenses, lost income, and/or other damages.
- Defendants breached their duty by failing to act reasonably to remediate, contain, and 408. eliminate the contamination before it injured the Plaintiffs.

409. Defendants had a legal duty to properly remediate the contamination from their activities at the sites and had full knowledge of the extent of the contamination and the threat it poses to human health and safety.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT II – BATTERY

- 410. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- At all relevant times, Defendants possessed knowledge that the PFAS which they 411. designed, engineered, manufactured, fabricated, sold, handled, released, trained users on, produced instructional materials for, used, and/or distributed were bio-persistent, bioaccumulative, toxic, potentially carcinogenic, and/or otherwise harmful/injurious and that their continued manufacture, use, sale, handling, release, and distribution would result in Plaintiffs having PFAS in Plaintiffs' blood, and the biopersistence and bioaccumulation of such PFAS in Plaintiffs' blood.
- 412. However, despite possessing such knowledge, Defendants knowingly, purposefully, and/or intentionally continued to engage in such acts and/or omissions, including but not limited to all such acts and/or omissions described in this Complaint, that continued to result in Plaintiffs accumulating PFAS in Plaintiffs' blood and/or body, and such PFAS persisting and accumulating in Plaintiffs' blood and/or body.
- Defendants did not seek or obtain permission or consent from Plaintiffs to put or allow 413. PFAS materials into Plaintiffs' blood and/or body, or to persist in and/or accumulate in

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

umber 1-1 Page 70 of 146

Plaintiffs' blood and/or body.

- 414. Entry into, persistence in, and accumulation of such PFAS in Plaintiffs' body and/or blood without permission or consent is an unlawful and harmful and/or offensive physical invasion and/or contact with Plaintiffs' person and unreasonably interferes with Plaintiffs' rightful use and possession of Plaintiffs' blood and/or body.
- 415. At all relevant times, the PFAS present in the blood of Plaintiffs originated from Defendants' acts and/or omissions.
- 416. Defendants continues to knowingly, intentionally, and/or purposefully engage in acts and/or omissions that result in the unlawful and unconsented-to physical invasion and/or contact with Plaintiffs that resulted in persisting and accumulating levels of PFAS in Plaintiffs' blood.
- 417. Plaintiffs, and any reasonable person, would find the contact at issue harmful and/or offensive.
- 418. Defendants acted intentionally with the knowledge and/or belief that the contact, presence and/or invasion of PFAS with, onto and/or into Plaintiffs' blood serum, including its persistence and accumulation in such serum, was substantially certain to result from those very acts and/or omissions.
- 419. Defendants' intentional acts and/or omissions resulted directly and/or indirectly in harmful contact with Plaintiffs' blood and/or body.
- 420. The continued presence, persistence, and accumulation of PFAS in the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs is offensive, unreasonable, and/or harmful, and thereby constitutes a battery.
- 421. The presence of PFAS in the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs altered the structure and/or function of such blood and/or body parts and resulted in cancer.
- 422. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Plaintiffs suffered

Date Filed 11/22/24

physical injury for which Defendants are therefore liable.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT III – ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITY

- 423. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 424. Activities such as the disposal of hazardous chemical wastes as is the case herein constitutes an abnormally dangerous activity for which strict liability will apply.
- 425. Defendants' aforesaid failure to employ reasonable care which a reasonably prudent person should use under the circumstances by storing, transporting, disposing of, or otherwise handling toxic substances, including PFAS, constitutes ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous activities involving ultra-hazardous, abnormally dangerous substances.
- 426. Defendants allowed or caused these ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous substances to be released into the surrounding land, groundwater, and river, and in doing so, failed to warn Plaintiffs of the dangerous condition that was caused thereby.
- 427. The risks posed by such activities outweigh any value associated with the same. As the result of said ultra-hazardous and abnormally dangerous activities, Plaintiffs have suffered damages and imminent, substantial, and impending harm to their health, families, and home values. Plaintiffs have expended and will be forced to expend significant resources to address their injuries caused by the contamination indefinitely for years and decades into the future.

428. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are strictly liable in tort for the damages sustained by Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT IV – FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

- 429. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 430. Throughout the relevant time period, Defendants knew that PFAS was defective and unreasonably unsafe for their intended purpose.
- 431. Defendants fraudulently concealed from and/or failed to disclose to or warn the Plaintiffs, and the public that PFAS was defective, unsafe, and unfit for the purposes intended.
- 432. Defendants were under a duty to the Plaintiffs and the public to disclose and warn of the defective and harmful nature of PFAS because:
 - a) Defendants were in a superior position to know the true quality, safety and efficacy of the Defendants' products;
 - b) Defendants knowingly made false claims about the safety and quality of the Defendants' product in documents and marketing materials; and
 - c) Defendants fraudulently and affirmatively concealed the defective nature of the Defendants' products from the Plaintiffs.
- 433. The facts concealed and/or not disclosed by Defendants to the Plaintiffs were material facts that a reasonable person would have considered to be important.

- 434. Defendants intentionally concealed and/or failed to disclose the true defective nature of PFAS so that the Plaintiffs would use the Defendants' products, the Plaintiffs justifiably acted or relied upon, to Plaintiffs' detriment, the concealed and/or non-disclosed facts.
- 435. Defendants, by concealment or other action, intentionally prevented the Plaintiffs from acquiring material information regarding the lack of safety and effectiveness of PFAS and are subject to the same liability to the Plaintiffs for Plaintiffs' pecuniary losses, as though Defendants had stated the non-existence of such material information regarding PFAS's lack of safety and effectiveness and dangers and defects, and as though Defendants had affirmatively stated the non-existence of such matters that the Plaintiffs was thus prevented from discovering the truth.
- 436. Defendants therefore have liability for fraudulent concealment under all applicable laws, including, inter alia, Restatement (Second) of Torts §550 (1977).
- 437. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, the Plaintiffs have been injured, and sustained severe and permanent pain, suffering, disability, impairment, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of care, comfort, and economic damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT V – NUISANCE

438. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.

- 439. Under a cause of action for private nuisance, Parties may be subject to liability for environmental contamination if their conduct invades another's private use and enjoyment of land and if such invasion is: 1) intentional and unreasonable; 2) negligent or reckless; or 3) actionable under the rules governing liability for abnormally dangerous conditions or activities.
- 440. Defendants own, occupy, control and/or still own, occupy and control their real property in such a way as to create and/or maintain and continue a dangerous and/or hazardous condition.
- 441. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants had knowledge and/or notice of the dangerous condition that the PFAS presented and failed to take reasonable acts to cleanup, correct, or remediate that condition.
- 442. Additionally, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to take reasonable action to eliminate, correct, or remedy any dangerous that was reasonably foreseeable to injure Plaintiffs and of which they had knowledge and/or notice.
- 443. Defendants breached these duties by negligently, willfully, and/or wantonly creating a dangerous condition on their property by allowing massive quantities toxic PFAS to be spilled, disposed of, or otherwise released into the ground, soil, and groundwater. This dangerous condition is reasonably foreseeable to cause injury and damage to Plaintiffs due to the size and nature of the releases of the contaminants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT VI – WANTONNESS

Date Filed 11/22/24

- 444. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 445. Defendants and their employees, agents, officers, and representatives owed a duty of care to everyone in the surrounding communities, including Plaintiffs.
- 446. Defendants breached the duty of care owed to the Plaintiffs.
- 447. The actions of Defendants and their employees, agents, officers, and representatives were willful and wanton and exhibited a reckless disregard for the life, health, and safety of those exposed to Defendants' PFAS, including Plaintiffs.
- 448. As a proximate and foreseeable consequent of the actions of Defendants, Plaintiffs were exposed to unreasonably dangerous toxic PFAS, which caused Plaintiffs' injuries.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT VII - STRICT LIABILITY (STATUTORY)

- 449. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 450. Plaintiffs asserts any and all remedies available under statutory causes of action from Plaintiffs' states for strict liability against each Defendant.
- 451. The Defendants were engaged in designing, manufacturing, marketing, selling, and distribution of PFAS products.

- 452. The PFAS products were in a defective condition and unreasonably dangerous to users and/or consumers when designed, manufactured, marketed, sold, and/or distributed to the public by the Defendants.
- 453. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants products' aforementioned defects, the Plaintiffs have been injured, sustained severe and permanent pain, suffering, disability, impairment, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of care, comfort, economic loss and damages including, but not limited to medical expenses, lost income, and other damages.
- 454. The Defendants are strictly liable in tort to the Plaintiffs for their wrongful conduct.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT VIII – STRICT LIABILITY (RESTATEMENT)

- 455. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- The Plaintiffs bring strict product liability claims under the common law, Section 402A 456. of the Restatement of Torts (Second), and/or Restatement of Torts (Third) against Defendants.
- 457. As designed, manufactured, marketed, tested, assembled, equipped, distributed and/or sold by the Defendants the PFAS product was in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition when put to reasonably anticipated use to foreseeable consumers and users, including the Plaintiffs.
- The Defendants had available reasonable alternative designs which would have made 458.

the PFAS product safer and would have most likely prevented the injuries and damages to the Plaintiffs, thus violating state law and the Restatement of Torts.

- 459. The Defendants failed to properly and adequately warn and instruct the Plaintiffs as to the proper safety and use of the Defendants' product.
- 460. The Defendants failed to properly and adequately warn and instruct the Plaintiffs regarding the inadequate research and testing of the product.
- 461. The Defendants' products are inherently dangerous and defective, unfit and unsafe for their intended and reasonably foreseeable uses, and do not meet or perform to the expectations.
- 462. As a proximate result of the Defendants' design, manufacture, marketing, sale, and distribution of the products, the Plaintiffs have been injured and sustained severe and permanent pain, suffering, disability, impairment, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of care, comfort, and consortium, and economic damages.
- 463. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants are strictly liable for the injuries and damages suffered by the Plaintiffs, caused by these defects in the PFAS products.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual, compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

COUNT IX – INADEQUATE WARNING

- 464. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if restated in full herein.
- 465. Defendants knew or should have known:

- a) exposure to products containing PFAS was hazardous to human health;
- b) the manner in which they were designing, marketing, developing, manufacturing, distributing, releasing, training, instructing, promoting, and selling products containing PFAS was hazardous to human health; and
- c) the manner in which they were designing, marketing, developing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, releasing, training, instructing, promotion and selling products containing PFAS would result in the contamination of Plaintiffs' blood and/or body as a result of exposure.
- 466. Defendants had a duty to warn of the hazards associated with products containing PFAS entering the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs because they knew of the dangerous, hazardous, and toxic properties of products containing PFAS. Defendants failed to provide sufficient warning to purchasers that the use of their PFAS products would cause PFAS to be released and cause the exposure and bioaccumulation of these toxic chemicals in the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs.
- 467. Adequate instructions and warnings on the products containing PFAS could have reduced or avoided these foreseeable risks of harm and injury to Plaintiffs. If Defendants provided adequate warnings:
 - a) Plaintiffs could have and would have taken measures to avoid or lessen exposure; and
 - b) end users and governments could have taken steps to reduce or prevent the release of PFASs into the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs. Defendants' failure to warn was a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' injuries from PFAS that came from the use, storage, and disposal of products containing PFAS. Crucially, Defendants' failure to provide adequate and sufficient warnings for the products containing PFAS they designed, marketed, manufactured, distributed, released, promoted, and sold renders the PFAS products as defective products.
- 468. Defendants were negligent in their failure to provide Plaintiffs with adequate warnings or instruction that the use of their PFAS products would cause PFAS to be released into the blood and/or body of Plaintiffs. As a result of Defendants' conduct and the resulting

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 79 of 146

contamination, Plaintiffs suffered severe personal injuries by exposure to products containing

PFAS.

469. Defendants' negligent failure to warn directly and proximately caused the harm to and

damages suffered by Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray judgments against the Defendants for actual,

compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages, together with the costs of this action, and for

such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

Discovery Rule Tolling

470. Plaintiffs had no way of knowing about the risk of serious injury associated with the use

of and exposure to PFAS until very recently.

471. Within the time period of any applicable statute of limitations, Plaintiffs could not have

discovered, through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that exposure to PFAS is harmful to

human health.

472. Plaintiffs did not discover and did not know of facts that would cause a reasonable person

to suspect the risk associated with the use of and exposure to PFAS; nor would a reasonable and

diligent investigation by Plaintiffs have disclosed that PFAS could cause personal injury.

473. For these reasons, all applicable statutes of limitations have been tolled by operation of

the discovery rule with respect to Plaintiffs' claims.

Fraudulent Concealment Tolling

77

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

1-1 Page 80 of 146

- 474. All applicable statute of limitations have also been tolled by Defendants knowing and active fraudulent concealment and denial of the facts alleged herein throughout the time period relevant to this action.
- 475. Instead of disclosing critical safety information regarding PFAS, Defendants have consistently and falsely represented the safety of PFAS and PFAS containing products.
- 476. This fraudulent concealment continues through present day.
- 477. Due to this fraudulent concealment, all applicable statutes of limitations have been tolled by operation of the discovery rule with respect to Plaintiffs' claims.

Estoppel

- 478. Defendants were under a continuous duty to consumer, end users, and other persons coming into contact with their products, including Plaintiffs, to accurately provide safety information concerning its products and the risk associated with the use of and exposure to PFAS.
- 479. Instead, Defendants knowingly, affirmatively, and actively concealed safety information concerning PFAS and the serious risks associated with the use of and exposure to PFAS.
- 480. Based on the foregoing, Defendants are estopped from relying on any statute of limitations in defense of this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgments against all Defendants, jointly and severally, on each of the above-referenced claims and Causes of Action as follows:

Awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiffs for past and future damages, including but

not limited, to pain and suffering for severe and permanent personal injuries sustained by the

Plaintiffs, health care costs, medical monitoring, together with interest and costs as provided by

law;

Punitive and/or exemplary damages for the wanton, willful, fraudulent, and/or reckless acts

of the Defendants who demonstrated a complete disregard and reckless indifference for the safety

and welfare of the Plaintiffs and of the general public and to the Plaintiffs in an amount sufficient

to punish Defendants and deter future similar conduct;

Awarding Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees;

Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of these proceedings; and

Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully Submitted,

ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION GROUP, P.C.

/s/ Gregory A. Cade

Gregory A. Cade

Gary A. Anderson

Kevin B. McKie

ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION GROUP, P.C.

2160 Highland Avenue South

Birmingham, AL 35205

Telephone: 205-328-9200

Facsimile: 205-328-9456

SERVE THE DEFENDANTS AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES BY CERTIFIED MAIL:

3M COMPANY c/o Corporation Service Company

251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE 19808

AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC. c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

AMEREX CORPORATION c/o James M. Proctor II 2900 Highway 280 Suite 300 Birmingham, AL 35223

ARCHROMA U.S. INC. c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

ARKEMA INC. 900 First Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

BASF CORPORATION
c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY
c/o A Haon Corporate Agent, Inc.
29225 Chagrin Blvd, Suite 350
Pepper Pike, OH 44122

CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC. c/o Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE 19808

CHEMGUARD INC.

c/o The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc. 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE 19808

CHEMICALS, INC.

c/o Ashok K. Moza 12321 Hatcherville Baytown, TX 77520

CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

CHUBB FIRE, LTD

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

CLARIANT CORPORATION

c/o Corporation Service Company 8040 Excelsior Drive, Suite 400 Madison, WI 53717

CORTEVA, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

DAIKIN AMERICA, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC.

Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street

Date Filed 11/22/24 Entry Number 1-1

Page 84 of 146

Wilmington, DE 19801

DUPONT DE NEMOURS, INC. c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street

Wilmington, DE 19801

DYNAX CORPORATION

c/o Corporate Systems LLC 3500 S. Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901

E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC.

c/o Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

KIDDE P.L.C.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY

c/o John A. Dickson, IV 2300 Bank Street Fort Mill, SC 29715

NATIONAL FOAM, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

PERIMETER SOLUTIONS, LP

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street

Entry Number 1-1 Page 85 of 146


Wilmington, DE 19801

THE CHEMOURS COMPANY c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS LP c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

UTC FIRE & SECURITY AMERICANS CORPORATION, INC. c/o Registered Office 15720 Brixham Hill Ave #300 Charlotte, NC 28277



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: GREGORY A. CADE gregc@elglaw.com

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: 3M COMPANY C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE, 19808

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: AMEREX CORPORATION
C/O JAMES M. PROCTOR II
2900 HIGHWAY 280, SUITE 300
BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35223

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: ARCHROMA U.S. INC.
C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY
CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET
WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: ARKEMA INC. 900 FIRST AVENUE KING OF PRUSSIA, PA, 19406

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: BASF CORPORATION

C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY

CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET

WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY C/O A HAON CORPORATE AGENT, INC. 29225 CHAGRIN BLVD, SUITE 350 PEPPER PIKE, OH, 44122

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC. C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 251 LITTLE FALLS DR WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE, 19808

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CHEMGUARD INC. C/O THE PRENTICE-HALL CORPORATION SYSTEM, INC. 251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE, 19808

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CHEMICALS, INC. C/O ASHOK K. MOZA 12321 HATCHERVILLE BAYTOWN, TX, 77520

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CHUBB FIRE, LTD C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CLARIANT CORPORATION CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 8040 EXCELSIOR DRIVE, SUITE 400 MADISON, WI, 53717

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: CORTEVA, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: DAIKIN AMERICA, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: DUPONT DE NEMOURS, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: DYNAX CORPORATION C/O CORPORATE SYSTEMS LLC 3500 S. DUPONT HIGHWAY DOVER, DE, 19901

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: KIDDE P.L.C.

C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY C/O JOHN A. DICKSON, IV 2300 BANK STREET FORT MILL, SC, 29715

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: NATIONAL FOAM, INC. C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: PERIMETER SOLUTIONS, LP C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: THE CHEMOURS COMPANY C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS LP C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET WILMINGTON, DE, 19801

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203



01-CV-2024-904104.00

To: UTC FIRE & SECURITY AMERICANS CORPORATION, INC. C/O REGISTERED OFFICE 15720 BRIXHAM HILL AVE #300 CHARLOTTE, NC, 28277

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL 01-CV-2024-904104.00

The following complaint was FILED on 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

Notice Date: 10/14/2024 2:58:38 PM

> JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH CIRCUIT COURT CLERK JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON, JR BLVD BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35203

2:24 ev 07381 RMC State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Date Fil

SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL NOTICE TO: 3M COMPANY, C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE, WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE 19808 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS **Unified Judicial System**

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

NOTICE TO: AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANL	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR	OTHER DOCUMENT		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY		RULES OF CIVI	L
You are hereby commanded to serve this			ument in	
this action upon the above-named Defend	• •	op.a o. oa.o. doo		
Service by certified mail of this Summons		uest below of	TIMMIE WATI	KINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	•	1		ne(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AN	DERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature of	of Clerk)		Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY	A. CADE		
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney	-		
	RETURN ON SERVIC	E		
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received	I in this office on			
		(Da	nte)	
	Personal/Authorized			
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a co	py of this Summons and the Co	mplaint or other docu	ment to	
i	n	County, Alaban	na on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to r	receive service of process pure	uant to Pule 4(c). Alal	hama Pules of Civ	il Procedu
		. ,,		ii i iocedui
at the above-named Defendant's	*	uai piace oi abode wii	in some	
person of suitable age and discr	etion then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this S	Summons and the Complaint or	other document was	refused by	
in	Cou	unty, Alabama on		who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	_	(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to receive	ve service of process pursuant	to Rule 4(c), Alabama	a Rules of Civil Pr	ocedure;
_				
As a designated process server pursuant t at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of pr	o this proceeding, and I am not rela			
(Serv	er's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	er's Printed Name)	_		

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: AMEREX CORPORATION, C/O JAMES M. PROCTOR II 2900 HIGHWAY 280, SUITE 300, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35223 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: ARCHROMA U.S. INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANL	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR	OTHER DOCUMENT		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY		RULES OF CIVI	L
You are hereby commanded to serve this			ument in	
this action upon the above-named Defend	• •	op.at o. oao. doo		
Service by certified mail of this Summons		uest below of	TIMMIE WATI	KINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	•	1		ne(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AN	DERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature of	of Clerk)		Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY	A. CADE		
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney	-		
	RETURN ON SERVIC	E		
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received	I in this office on			
		(Da	nte)	
	Personal/Authorized			
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a co	py of this Summons and the Co	mplaint or other docu	ment to	
i	n	County, Alaban	na on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to r	receive service of process pure	uant to Pule 4(c). Alal	hama Pules of Civ	il Procedu
		. ,,		ii i iocedui
at the above-named Defendant's	*	uai piace oi abode wii	in some	
person of suitable age and discr	etion then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this S	Summons and the Complaint or	other document was	refused by	
in	Cou	unty, Alabama on		who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	_	(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to receive	ve service of process pursuant	to Rule 4(c), Alabama	a Rules of Civil Pr	ocedure;
_				
As a designated process server pursuant t at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of pr	o this proceeding, and I am not rela			
(Serv	er's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	er's Printed Name)	_		

2:24 ev 07381 RMC State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: ARKEMA INC., 900 FIRST AVENUE, KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023 Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

BASE CORPORATION, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET,

NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUME	
RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAM DEEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	A RULES OF CIVIL
immons and a copy of the Complaint or other	document in
t.	
	TIMMIE WATKINS
	[Name(s)]
	By:
(Signature of Clerk)	
/s/ GREGORY A CADE	<u>`</u>
Certified Mail	
this office on	
	(Date)
Personal/Authorized	
of this Summons and the Complaint or other d	ocument to
County, Alai	bama on
County, Alal	bama on
(Name of County)	(Date)
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c),	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c),	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein.	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu with some
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedue with some
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document we	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedue with some
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document we County, Alabama of	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure with some vas refused by m who is
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document we County, Alabama of	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure with some vas refused by (Date)
(Name of County) eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document welling the county, Alabama of (Name of County)	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; with some was refused by nwho is (Date) ama Rules of Civil Procedure; certify that I am
eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service Immons and the Complaint or other document we County, Alabama of (Name of County) Service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I is proceeding, and I am not related within the third	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; with some was refused by nwho is (Date) ama Rules of Civil Procedure; certify that I am degree by blood or
eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), welling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service Immons and the Complaint or other document we County, Alabama of (Name of County) Service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama even (ii) (1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I is proceeding, and I am not related within the third iss.	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; was refused by the following the foll
il it iii r	mmons and a copy of the Complaint or other t. nitiated upon the written request below of rocedure. /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH (Signature of Clerk) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) RETURN ON SERVICE Certified Mail this office on

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023 Date Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: BUCK

BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY, C/O A HAON CORPORATE AGENT, INC. 29225 CHAGRIN BLVD, SUITE 350, PEPPER PIKE, OH

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	IN THE COMPLAINT	JR OTHER DOCUMENT.		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PE PRO	RSON AUTHORIZED CEDURE TO SERVE		ULES OF CI	VIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Su	mmons and a copy of th	e Complaint or other docu	ıment in	
this action upon the above-named Defendan	• •			
Service by certified mail of this Summons is i		request below of	TIMMIE WA	TKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pi		roquost bolon of		lame(s)]
10/14/2024		ANDERSON SMITH	By:	(/2
(Date)		ure of Clerk)	Бу	(Name)
	, ,	RY A. CADE		(**************************************
Certified Mail is hereby requested.		rney's Signature)		
	RETURN ON SER	, ,		
		VICE		
Deturn receipt of contified most received in	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received in	this office on	(Dot	<u></u>	
		(Dat	e) 	
	Personal/Authorize			
I certify that I personally delivered a copy of	of this Summons and the	e Complaint or other docur	nent to	
in _		County, Alabam	a on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			(Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to rece	eive service of process r	oursuant to Rule 4(c). Alab	ama Rules of (Civil Procedur
at the above-named Defendant's dv				
		usual place of about with	1 30IIIC	
person of suitable age and discretion	on then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Serv			
I certify that service of process of this Sum	mons and the Complair	t or other document was r	efused by	
in		County, Alabama on		who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to receive s	service of process pursu	ant to Rule 4(c). Alabama	Rules of Civil I	Procedure:
As a designated process server pursuant to R at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to thi marriage to the party seeking service of proces	s proceeding, and I am no			
(Type of Process Server) (Server's	Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's	Printed Name)			
(Dadwa as Duaginat Number of Obsert and Oscientists)	an Number of Designation	Comment		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephon	ie ivumber of Designated Proces	ss server)		

- CIVIL -

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE NOTICE TO: STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA DEEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	RULES OF CIVIL
ummons and a copy of the Complaint or other doc	cument in
	TIMMIE WATKINS
	[Name(s)]
	By:
(Signature of Clerk)	
/c/ CRECORY A CARE	(/
	-40)
(Da	ate)
of this Summons and the Complaint or other docu	ument to
County, Alabar	ma on
(Name of County)	(Date)
eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c). Ala	hama Rules of Civil Procedu
eive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Ala	
welling house or place or usual place of abode wi	
welling house or place or usual place of abode wi	
welling house or place or usual place of abode wi	th some
welling house or place or usual place of abode with the residing therein. Return of Non-Service mmons and the Complaint or other document was	refused by
welling house or place or usual place of abode wi on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service	refused by
welling house or place or usual place of abode with on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service nmons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on	refused by
welling house or place or usual place of abode with the residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on (Name of County)	refused by (Date)
welling house or place or usual place of abode with the residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on (Name of County) service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama	refused by Who is (Date) a Rules of Civil Procedure;
welling house or place or usual place of abode with the residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on (Name of County)	refused by who is (Date) a Rules of Civil Procedure;
welling house or place or usual place of abode with on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on (Name of County) service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I cer is proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree on the county of the county	refused by who is (Date) a Rules of Civil Procedure;
welling house or place or usual place of abode with on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama on (Name of County) service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I cer is proceeding, and I am not related within the third decess.	refused by who is (Date) a Rules of Civil Procedure;
	commons and a copy of the Complaint or other document. initiated upon the written request below of procedure. /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH (Signature of Clerk) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) RETURN ON SERVICE Certified Mail this office on (Diamond County, Alaban)

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC., C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 251 LITTLE FALLS DR, WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE 19808

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	O IN THE COMPLAINT OR OT	HER DOCUMENT.	
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PE PRO	RSON AUTHORIZED BY T CEDURE TO SERVE PRO		ULES OF CIVIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Su	immons and a copy of the Con	nplaint or other docur	ment in
this action upon the above-named Defendan	t.		
Service by certified mail of this Summons is	initiated upon the written reque	est below of	TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil P	rocedure.		[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AND	ERSON SMITH	By:
(Date)	(Signature of C	Clerk)	(Name)
Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A.	CADE	
_ , ,	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's	Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE		
	Certified Mail		
Return receipt of certified mail received in	this office on		
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized		
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a copy of	of this Summons and the Com	plaint or other docum	nent to
in		County, Alabama	a on
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)
Document left:			
with above-named Defendant;			
with an individual authorized to rece	eive service of process pursua	nt to Rule 4(c). Alaha	ama Rules of Civil Procedu
at the above-named Defendant's dv	·	• • •	
	•	place of abode with	Some
person of suitable age and discretion	on then residing therein.		
	Return of Non-Service		
I certify that service of process of this Sum	nmons and the Complaint or ot	her document was re	fused by
in	Coun	ty, Alabama on	who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)
the above-named Defendant;			
an individual authorized to receive	service of process pursuant to	Rule 4(c), Alabama F	Rules of Civil Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to R at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to thi marriage to the party seeking service of proce	is proceeding, and I am not relate		
(Type of Process Server) (Server's	Signature)	(Address of Server)	
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's	Printed Name)		
Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephor	ne Number of Designated Process Serve	<u>(r)</u>	
baago of the content that the content of content of the content of	io italiasi di Designatea i rocessi del Ve	'/	

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: CHEMGUARD INC., C/O THE PRENTICE-HALL CORPORATION SYSTEM, INC. 251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE, WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE 19808

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUME	NT.
	RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAM CEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	A RULES OF CIVIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Sur	mmons and a copy of the Complaint or other	document in
this action upon the above-named Defendant		
Service by certified mail of this Summons is in	nitiated upon the written request below of	TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pr	ocedure.	[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH	By:
(Date)	(Signature of Clerk)	(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A. CADE	
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE	
	Certified Mail	
Return receipt of certified mail received in t	his office on	
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized	
L certify that I personally delivered a copy of	f this Summons and the Complaint or other do	ocument to
in	County, Alab	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	(Date)
Document left:	(Name of County)	(Bate)
_		
with above-named Defendant;		
	ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), A	
at the above-named Defendant's dw	relling house or place or usual place of abode	with some
person of suitable age and discretio	n then residing therein.	
	Return of Non-Service	
I certify that service of process of this Sum	mons and the Complaint or other document w	as refused by
in	County, Alabama or	•
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	(Date)
the above-named Defendant;	(i.a.i.o c. cca.i.y)	(2 3.0)
	on ice of process pursuant to Dulo 4(a). Alaba	ama Dulas of Civil Presedure:
an individual authorized to receive s	ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alaba	ima Rules of Civil Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to Ru at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this marriage to the party seeking service of process	ale 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I proceeding, and I am not related within the third is.	certify that I am degree by blood or
(Type of Process Server) (Server's S	Signature) (Address of Server)	
·	,	
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's F	Printed Name)	
(Padge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephon	W 1 (D : 1/2)	
I Radde or Precinct Nilmner of Speriff or Constable) / Lelephoni	Number of Liesianatea Process Serveri	

- CIVIL -

2:24 ev 07381 RMC State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

SUMMONS

Entry Number

-1 Page 126 of 146

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: CHEMICALS, INC., C/O ASHOK K. MOZA 12321 HATCHERVILLE, BAYTOWN, TX 77520 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name)

(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL CHUBB FIRE, LTD, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, NOTICE TO: DE 19801 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Signature of Clerk) (Date) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server)

2:24 ev 07381 RMC State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Date Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

1-1 Page 128 of 146 Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

TIMINIE WATRING ET AL V. SWI COMPANT ET AL
NOTICE TO: CLARIANT CORPORATION, CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 8040 EXCELSIOR DRIVE, SUITE 400, MADISON, WI 53717
(Name and Address of Defendant)
THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE
[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]
WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]
THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT.
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:
You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in
this action upon the above-named Defendant.
Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. [Name(s)]
10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By:
(Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested. /s/ GREGORY A. CADE (Distribution of Control of
(Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature)
RETURN ON SERVICE
Certified Mail
Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on
(Date)
Personal/Authorized
I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to
in County, Alabama on .
(First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date)
Document left:
with above-named Defendant;
with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure;
at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some
person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.
Return of Non-Service
☐ I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by
in County, Alabama on who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date)
the above-named Defendant;
an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or
marriage to the party seeking service of process.
Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server)

2:24-cv-07381-RMC State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Date Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL CORTEVA, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, NOTICE TO: DE 19801 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date)

Document left:			
	ant;		
with an individual authorize	ed to receive service of proce	ss pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabam	a Rules of Civil Procedure;
at the above-named Defen	dant's dwelling house or plac	e or usual place of abode with so	ome
person of suitable age and	discretion then residing ther	ein.	
	Return of Non-	Service	
I certify that service of process of	this Summons and the Comp	plaint or other document was refu	ised by
	in	County, Alabama on	who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)
the above-named Defenda	nt;		
an individual authorized to	receive service of process po	ursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Ru	lles of Civil Procedure;
As a designated process server purs at least 19 years of age, I am not a p marriage to the party seeking service	earty to this proceeding, and I ar		
(Type of Process Server)	(Server's Signature)	(Address of Server)	
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable)	(Server's Printed Name)		

(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

DAIKIN AMERICA, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	O IN THE COMPLAINT OR OT	HER DOCUMENT.	
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PE PRO	RSON AUTHORIZED BY T CEDURE TO SERVE PRO		ULES OF CIVIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Su	immons and a copy of the Con	nplaint or other docur	ment in
this action upon the above-named Defendan	t.		
Service by certified mail of this Summons is	initiated upon the written reque	est below of	TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil P	rocedure.		[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AND	ERSON SMITH	By:
(Date)	(Signature of C	Clerk)	(Name)
Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A.	CADE	
_ , ,	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's	Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE		
	Certified Mail		
Return receipt of certified mail received in	this office on		
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized		
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a copy of	of this Summons and the Com	plaint or other docum	nent to
in		County, Alabama	a on
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)
Document left:			
with above-named Defendant;			
with an individual authorized to rece	eive service of process pursua	nt to Rule 4(c) Alaha	ama Rules of Civil Procedu
at the above-named Defendant's dv	·	• • •	
	•	place of abode with	Some
person of suitable age and discretion	on then residing therein.		
	Return of Non-Service		
I certify that service of process of this Sum	nmons and the Complaint or ot	her document was re	fused by
in	Coun	ty, Alabama on	who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)
the above-named Defendant;			
an individual authorized to receive	service of process pursuant to	Rule 4(c), Alabama F	Rules of Civil Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to R at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to thi marriage to the party seeking service of proce	is proceeding, and I am not relate		
(Type of Process Server) (Server's	Signature)	(Address of Server)	
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's	Printed Name)		
Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephor	ne Number of Designated Process Serve	<u>(r)</u>	
baago of the content that the content of content of the content of	io italiasi di Designatea i rocessi del Ve	'/	

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801

DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET,

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT

	RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAN CEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	
You are hereby commanded to serve this Sur		document in
this action upon the above-named Defendant.	· ·	
Service by certified mail of this Summons is in	nitiated upon the written request below of	TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pro	ocedure.	[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH	lBy:
(Date)	(Signature of Clerk)	(Name)
Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A. CADE	
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE	
	Certified Mail	
Return receipt of certified mail received in t	this office on	
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized	
I certify that I personally delivered a copy or	f this Summons and the Complaint or other	document to
in	County, Ala	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	County, Ala (Name of County)	(Date)
(First and Last Name of Person Served)		
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant;		(Date)
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recei	(Name of County)	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recei	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), relling house or place or usual place of abode	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recei	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), relling house or place or usual place of abode n then residing therein.	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recei at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), relling house or place or usual place of abode n then residing therein. Return of Non-Service	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedule with some
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recein at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion.	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), velling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other documents.	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu e with some was refused by
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recein at the above-named Defendant's dwith a person of suitable age and discretion of suitable age and discretion in	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), velling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document of County, Alabama of	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu e with some was refused by onwho i
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to recein at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion I certify that service of process of this Summer in (First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), velling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other documents.	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu e with some was refused by
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion I certify that service of process of this Summing in (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant;	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), velling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document of County, Alabama of County)	Alabama Rules of Civil Proceduce with some was refused by onwho inwho in
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion I certify that service of process of this Summing in (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant;	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), velling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document of County, Alabama of	Alabama Rules of Civil Proceduce with some was refused by onwho inwho in
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion I certify that service of process of this Summing in (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive server pursuant to Ru	ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), relling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document of County, Alabama of County) ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, is proceeding, and I am not related within the thire	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure with some was refused by onwho inwho in has a who inwho in has a who in
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretion I certify that service of process of this Summing in (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive so at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this	(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), relling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document of County, Alabama of (Name of County) ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, is proceeding, and I am not related within the third is.	Alabama Rules of Civil Proceduce with some was refused by onwho inwho inwho inwho inwho iswho is

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

DUPONT DE NEMOURS, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

HE MONET OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	THE COM LAME ON OTHER DOCUM	
	RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAI CEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	MA RULES OF CIVIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Sur	mmons and a copy of the Complaint or othe	r document in
this action upon the above-named Defendant		
Service by certified mail of this Summons is in		TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pr		[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH	Н Ву:
(Date)	(Signature of Clerk)	(Name)
Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A. CADE	
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE	
	Certified Mail	
Return receipt of certified mail received in t	this office on	
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized	
I certify that I personally delivered a copy o	of this Summons and the Complaint or other	document to
in	County, Ala	abama on
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	County, Ala (Name of County)	abama on
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left:		
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant;	(Name of County)	(Date)
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece	(Name of County)	(Date) , Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw	(Name of County) vive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about	(Date) , Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece	(Name of County) vive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about n then residing therein.	(Date) , Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio	(Name of County) vive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about then residing therein. Return of Non-Service	(Date) I, Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu Ide with some
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio	(Name of County) sive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) yelling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu de with some was refused by
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio	(Name of County) vive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu de with some was refused by onwho is
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Sumin in (First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County) sive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) yelling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu de with some was refused by
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Summin (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant;	(Name of County) sive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama of (Name of County)	(Date) (Date) (Date) (Date) (Date)
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Summin (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant;	(Name of County) vive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) velling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama	(Date) (Date) (Date) (Date) (Date)
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Summin (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive s As a designated process server pursuant to Ru	(Name of County) velling house or place or usual place of about then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama of (Name of County) vervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, as proceeding, and I am not related within the thir	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure) was refused by onwho iswho iswho iswho iswho iswho is
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Summin (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive s As a designated process server pursuant to Ru at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this	(Name of County) sive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) yelling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama of (Name of County) service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, as proceeding, and I am not related within the thires.	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure) was refused by onwho iswho is
(First and Last Name of Person Served) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to rece at the above-named Defendant's dw person of suitable age and discretio I certify that service of process of this Summin (First and Last Name of Person Served) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive s As a designated process server pursuant to Ru at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this marriage to the party seeking service of proces	(Name of County) sive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c) yelling house or place or usual place of about in then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document County, Alabama of (Name of County) service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, as proceeding, and I am not related within the thires. Gignature) (Address of Serve	(Date) (Date) (Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure) was refused by onwho iswho is

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

SUMMONS - CIVIL -

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: DYNAX CORPORATION, C/O CORPORATE SYSTEMS LLC 3500 S. DUPONT HIGHWAY, DOVER, DE 19901 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

- CIVIL -

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 NOTICE TO: ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMAND	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR O	THER DOCUMENT.		
	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY ROCEDURE TO SERVE PRO		ULES OF CIV	IL
You are hereby commanded to serve this	Summons and a copy of the Co	mplaint or other docu	ment in	
this action upon the above-named Defend	• •			
✓ Service by certified mail of this Summons		est below of	TIMMIE WAT	KINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	·			me(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AND	ERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature of			(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A	CADE	<u> </u>	
• Octanica Man is hereby requested.	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's			
	RETURN ON SERVICE			
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received				
		(Date	e)	<u> </u>
	Personal/Authorized	(= 3.1.	-,	
I certify that I personally delivered a co		anlaint or other decur	nont to	
	• •	•		
	n	County, Alabam		D-4-)
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(4	Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to	receive service of process pursua	ant to Rule 4(c), Alaba	ama Rules of Ci	vil Procedure;
at the above-named Defendant's	s dwelling house or place or usua	al place of abode with	some	
person of suitable age and disci	· ·			
percent of callable age and aloca				
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this S	·		efused by	
in		nty, Alabama on		who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to recei	ve service of process pursuant to	Rule 4(c), Alabama	Rules of Civil Pr	rocedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of pr	o this proceeding, and I am not relat			
	ver's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	ver's Printed Name)			
(Padra or Procinct Number of Shariff or Canadahia)	phono Number of Designated Press.	orl		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Tele	phone Number of Designated Process Serv	er)		

State of Alabama

the above-named Defendant;

marriage to the party seeking service of process.

(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name)

(Type of Process Server)

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant; with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date)

an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure;

(Address of Server)

As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or

(Server's Signature)

(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

NOTICE TO: KIDDE P.L.C., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	IN THE COMPLAINT	JR OTHER DOCUMENT.		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PE PRO	RSON AUTHORIZED CEDURE TO SERVE		ULES OF CI	VIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Su	mmons and a copy of th	e Complaint or other docu	ıment in	
this action upon the above-named Defendan	• •			
Service by certified mail of this Summons is i		request below of	TIMMIE WA	TKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pi		roquost bolon of		lame(s)]
10/14/2024		ANDERSON SMITH	By:	(/2
(Date)		ure of Clerk)	Бу	(Name)
	, ,	RY A. CADE		(**************************************
Certified Mail is hereby requested.		rney's Signature)		
	RETURN ON SER	, ,		
		VICE		
Deturn receipt of contified most received in	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received in	this office on	(Dat	<u></u>	
		(Dat	e) 	
	Personal/Authorize			
I certify that I personally delivered a copy of	of this Summons and the	e Complaint or other docur	nent to	
in _		County, Alabam	a on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			(Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to rece	eive service of process r	oursuant to Rule 4(c). Alab	ama Rules of (Civil Procedur
at the above-named Defendant's dv				
		usual place of about with	1 30IIIC	
person of suitable age and discretion	on then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Serv			
I certify that service of process of this Sum	mons and the Complair	t or other document was r	efused by	
in		County, Alabama on		who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to receive s	service of process pursu	ant to Rule 4(c). Alabama	Rules of Civil I	Procedure:
As a designated process server pursuant to R at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to thi marriage to the party seeking service of proces	s proceeding, and I am no			
(Type of Process Server) (Server's	Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's	Printed Name)			
(Dadwa as Duaginat Number of Obsert and Oscientists)	an Number of Designation	Comment		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephon	ie ivumber of Designated Proces	ss server)		

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY, C/O JOHN A. DICKSON, IV 2300 BANK STREET, FORT MILL, SC 29715 (Name and Address of Defendant) THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S), GREGORY A. CADE [Name(s) of Attorney(s)] WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 [Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)] THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT. TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY PERSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAMA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS: You are hereby commanded to serve this Summons and a copy of the Complaint or other document in this action upon the above-named Defendant. Service by certified mail of this Summons is initiated upon the written request below of TIMMIE WATKINS [Name(s)] pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Procedure. 10/14/2024 /s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH By: (Date) (Signature of Clerk) (Name) /s/ GREGORY A. CADE Certified Mail is hereby requested. (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) **RETURN ON SERVICE** Certified Mail Return receipt of certified mail received in this office on (Date) Personal/Authorized I certify that I personally delivered a copy of this Summons and the Complaint or other document to County, Alabama on (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) Document left: with above-named Defendant: with an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; at the above-named Defendant's dwelling house or place or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Return of Non-Service I certify that service of process of this Summons and the Complaint or other document was refused by County, Alabama on who is: (First and Last Name of Person Served) (Name of County) (Date) the above-named Defendant; an individual authorized to receive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; As a designated process server pursuant to Rule 4(i)(1)(B), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this proceeding, and I am not related within the third degree by blood or marriage to the party seeking service of process. (Type of Process Server) (Server's Signature) (Address of Server) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's Printed Name) (Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Telephone Number of Designated Process Server)

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

NOTICE TO: NATIONAL FOAM, INC., C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED	IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCU	MENT.
	RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABA CEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	AMA RULES OF CIVIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this Su	mmons and a copy of the Complaint or other	er document in
this action upon the above-named Defendant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Service by certified mail of this Summons is in		TIMMIE WATKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civil Pr	•	[Name(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMIT	гн Ву:
(Date)	(Signature of Clerk)	(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A. CADE	
_ continue main to more by requestion.	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature)	
	RETURN ON SERVICE	
	Certified Mail	
Return receipt of certified mail received in	this office on	
		(Date)
	Personal/Authorized	
I certify that I personally delivered a copy of		r document to
in	·	labama on
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	(Date)
Document left:	(Nume of County)	(Bato)
_		
with above-named Defendant;		
	ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c	
at the above-named Defendant's dw	elling house or place or usual place of abo	de with some
person of suitable age and discretion	n then residing therein.	
	Return of Non-Service	
☐ I certify that service of process of this Sum		it was refused by
in	County, Alabama	•
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	(Date)
the above-named Defendant;	(Manie en County)	(2 3.0)
	ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Ala	shame Dules of Civil Presedure
	ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Ala	abama Rules of Civil Procedure,
As a designated process server pursuant to Ru at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to this marriage to the party seeking service of process.	s proceeding, and I am not related within the th	e, I certify that I am ird degree by blood or
Type of Process Server) (Server's S	Signature) (Address of Sen	ver)
, (consists	((((((((((((((((((((,
Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Server's F	Printed Name)	
(Padra or Precinct Number of Shariff or Capetable) (Talaphan	Number of Designated Duranta	
Range or Precinct Number of Speriff or Constable) (Telephon	e Number of Decignated Process Server)	

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

PERIMETER SOLUTIONS, LP, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, NOTICE TO: WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUME	
RSON AUTHORIZED BY THE ALABAM CEDURE TO SERVE PROCESS:	IA RULES OF CIVIL
mmons and a copy of the Complaint or other	document in
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	TIMMIE WATKINS
	[Name(s)]
	By:
(Signature of Clerk)	
/s/ GREGORY A CADE	
Certified Mail	
his office on	
	(Date)
Personal/Authorized	
f this Summons and the Complaint or other d	ocument to
•	
County Alah	hama on
County, Alal	
(Name of County)	bama on(Date)
(Name of County)	(Date)
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), A	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and relling house or place or usual place of abode	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), A	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and relling house or place or usual place of abode	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and the process pursual place of abode in then residing therein.	(<i>Date</i>) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedu with some
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and religion place of place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document we have a complaint or other document which we have a complaint or other document	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedue with some
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and relling house or place or usual place of abode on then residing therein. Return of Non-Service	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedue with some
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), and relling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document working County, Alabama or in the complaint or other document working.	(Date) Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure with some vas refused by m who is
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), And then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document wow County, Alabama or (Name of County)	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedue with some was refused by (Date)
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), A relling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document with County, Alabama or (Name of County) ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; was refused by (Date) who is (Date)
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), And then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document wow County, Alabama or (Name of County)	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; was refused by row who is (Date) ama Rules of Civil Procedure; certify that I am
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), A relling house or place or usual place of abode in their residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document with County, Alabama or (Name of County) ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, Its proceeding, and I am not related within the third	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; was refused by row who is (Date) ama Rules of Civil Procedure; certify that I am degree by blood or
(Name of County) ive service of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), And then residing therein. Return of Non-Service mons and the Complaint or other document was County, Alabama or (Name of County) ervice of process pursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure, I be proceeding, and I am not related within the third is.	Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure; was refused by row who is (Date) ama Rules of Civil Procedure; certify that I am degree by blood or
- T	cedure To Serve Process: Inmons and a copy of the Complaint or other Initiated upon the written request below of ocedure. Is JACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH (Signature of Clerk) Is GREGORY A. CADE (Plaintiff's/Attorney's Signature) RETURN ON SERVICE Certified Mail This office on

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

NOTICE TO: THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMAN	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR	OTHER DOCUMENT		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY P	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY ROCEDURE TO SERVE PE		RULES OF CI	VIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this			ument in	
this action upon the above-named Defen	• •			
✓ Service by certified mail of this Summons		nuest below of	TIMMIE WA	TKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	•	10001 201011 01		ame(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AN	IDERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature	of Clerk)		(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY	A. CADE		
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney	-		
	RETURN ON SERVIC	E		
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received	d in this office on			
		(Da	ate)	
	Personal/Authorized			
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a co	py of this Summons and the Co	omplaint or other docu	iment to	
i	in	County, Alaban	na on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			(Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to	receive service of process purs	uant to Rule 4(c) Alal	hama Rules of (ivil Procedu
at the above-named Defendant	· · ·	· //		olvii i Toccadi
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uai piace di abode wii	in some	
person of suitable age and disc	retion then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this \$	Summons and the Complaint or	other document was	refused by	
in	Cor	unty, Alabama on		who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	_	(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to recei	ve service of process pursuant	to Rule 4(c), Alabama	a Rules of Civil F	Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of process.	o this proceeding, and I am not rel			
(Type of Process Server) (Serv	ver's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	ver's Printed Name)	- -		

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE NOTICE TO: THE CHEMICURS COMPANY 1 0, E 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMAN	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR	OTHER DOCUMENT		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY P	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY ROCEDURE TO SERVE PE		RULES OF CI	VIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this			ument in	
this action upon the above-named Defen	• •			
✓ Service by certified mail of this Summons		nuest below of	TIMMIE WA	TKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	•	10.001.20.011.01		ame(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AN	IDERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature	of Clerk)		(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY	A. CADE		
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney	-		
	RETURN ON SERVIC	E		
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received	d in this office on			
		(Da	ate)	
	Personal/Authorized			
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a co	py of this Summons and the Co	omplaint or other docu	iment to	
i	in	County, Alaban	na on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			(Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to	receive service of process purs	uant to Rule 4(c) Alal	hama Rules of (ivil Procedu
at the above-named Defendant	· · ·	· //		olvii i Toccadi
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uai piace di abode wii	in some	
person of suitable age and disc	retion then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this \$	Summons and the Complaint or	other document was	refused by	
in	Cor	unty, Alabama on		who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	_	(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to recei	ve service of process pursuant	to Rule 4(c), Alabama	a Rules of Civil F	Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of process.	o this proceeding, and I am not rel			
(Type of Process Server) (Serv	ver's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	ver's Printed Name)	- -		

State of Alabama

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023 Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

- CIVIL -

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

NOTICE TO: TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS LP, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMAN	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR	OTHER DOCUMENT		
TO ANY SHERIFF OR ANY P	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY ROCEDURE TO SERVE PE		RULES OF CI	VIL
You are hereby commanded to serve this			ument in	
this action upon the above-named Defen	• •			
✓ Service by certified mail of this Summons		nuest below of	TIMMIE WA	TKINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	•	10.001.20.011.01		ame(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AN	IDERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature	of Clerk)		(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY	A. CADE		
	(Plaintiff's/Attorney	-		
	RETURN ON SERVIC	E		
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received	d in this office on			
		(Da	ate)	
	Personal/Authorized			
☐ I certify that I personally delivered a co	py of this Summons and the Co	omplaint or other docu	iment to	
i	in	County, Alaban	na on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)			(Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to	receive service of process purs	uant to Rule 4(c) Alal	hama Rules of (ivil Procedu
at the above-named Defendant	· · ·	· //		olvii i Toccadi
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uai piace di abode wii	in some	
person of suitable age and disc	retion then residing therein.			
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this \$	Summons and the Complaint or	other document was	refused by	
in	Cor	unty, Alabama on		who is
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)	_	(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to recei	ve service of process pursuant	to Rule 4(c), Alabama	a Rules of Civil F	Procedure;
As a designated process server pursuant at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of process.	o this proceeding, and I am not rel			
(Type of Process Server) (Serv	ver's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	ver's Printed Name)	- -		

2:24-ev-07381-RMC State of Alabama Date Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS Entry Number 1-1 Page
Court Caso N

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

Unified Judicial System Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

- CIVIL -

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

NOTICE TO: UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, C/O THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY CORPORATION TRUST CENTER, 1209 ORANGE (Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

THIS ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR DELIVERED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THIS SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WERE SERVED ON YOU OR A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE RENDERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT.

THE MONEY OR OTHER THINGS DEMAND	DED IN THE COMPLAINT OR O	THER DOCUMENT.		
	PERSON AUTHORIZED BY ROCEDURE TO SERVE PRO		ULES OF CIV	IL
You are hereby commanded to serve this	Summons and a copy of the Co	mplaint or other docu	ment in	
this action upon the above-named Defend	• •			
✓ Service by certified mail of this Summons		est below of	TIMMIE WAT	KINS
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of the Civ	·			me(s)]
10/14/2024	/s/ JACQUELINE AND	ERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Signature of			(Name)
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requested.	/s/ GREGORY A	CADE	<u> </u>	
• Octanica Man is hereby requested.	(Plaintiff's/Attorney's			
	RETURN ON SERVICE			
	Certified Mail			
Return receipt of certified mail received				
		(Date	e)	<u> </u>
	Personal/Authorized	(= 3.1.	-,	
I certify that I personally delivered a co		anlaint or other decur	nont to	
	• •	•		
	n	County, Alabam		D-4-)
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(4	Date)
Document left:				
with above-named Defendant;				
with an individual authorized to	receive service of process pursua	ant to Rule 4(c), Alaba	ama Rules of Ci	vil Procedure;
at the above-named Defendant's	s dwelling house or place or usua	al place of abode with	some	
person of suitable age and disci	· ·			
percent of callable age and aloca				
	Return of Non-Service			
I certify that service of process of this S	·		efused by	
in		nty, Alabama on		who is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)	
the above-named Defendant;				
an individual authorized to recei	ve service of process pursuant to	Rule 4(c), Alabama	Rules of Civil Pr	rocedure;
As a designated process server pursuant to at least 19 years of age, I am not a party to marriage to the party seeking service of pr	o this proceeding, and I am not relat			
	ver's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Serv	ver's Printed Name)			
(Padra or Procinct Number of Shariff or Canadahia)	phono Number of Designated Press.	orl		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable) (Tele	phone Number of Designated Process Serv	er)		

State of Alabama

Filed 11/22/24 SUMMONS

Court Case Number 01-CV-2024-904104.00

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA TIMMIE WATKINS ET AL V. 3M COMPANY ET AL

- CIVIL -

Unified Judicial System

Form C-34 Rev. 7/2023

NOTICE TO: UTC FIRE & SECURITY AMERICANS CORPORATION, INC., C/O REGISTERED OFFICE 15720 BRIXHAM HILL AVE #300, CHARLOTTE, NC 28277

(Name and Address of Defendant)

THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT, AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THE ORIGINAL OF YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER, EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING EACH ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT OR OTHER DOCUMENT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. A COPY OF YOUR ANSWER MUST BE MAILED OR HAND DELIVERED BY YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY TO THE PLAINTIFF(S) OR ATTORNEY(S) OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

GREGORY A. CADE

[Name(s) of Attorney(s)]

WHOSE ADDRESS(ES) IS/ARE: 2160 Highland Avenue South, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205

[Address(es) of Plaintiff(s) or Attorney(s)]

	WARDED IN THE COME DAI	NI OR OTHER DOCUMENT	•	
TO ANY SHERIFF OR A	NY PERSON AUTHORIZ PROCEDURE TO SEF		RULES OF CIVIL	
You are hereby commanded to serve	e this Summons and a copy	of the Complaint or other do	cument in	
this action upon the above-named D	• •	,		
Service by certified mail of this Sumi		tten request below of	TIMMIE WATKINS	
pursuant to the Alabama Rules of th		·	[Name(s)]	
10/14/2024		INE ANDERSON SMITH	By:	
(Date)	(Si	gnature of Clerk)	(Name)	
✓ Certified Mail is hereby requeste	d. /s/ GRE	GORY A. CADE		
		(Attorney's Signature)		_
	RETURN ON S	ERVICE		
	Certified M	lail		
Return receipt of certified mail rec	eived in this office on			
		(D	ate)	
	Personal/Auth	orized		
I certify that I personally delivered	a copy of this Summons and	the Complaint or other doc	ument to	
	in	County, Alaba	ma on	
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of Cou	inty)	(Date)	
Document left:				
with above-named Defenda	ant;			
	d to receive service of proce	ss pursuant to Rule 4(c). Ala	bama Rules of Civil Proce	edure
	dant's dwelling house or place	. , ,		
	discretion then residing ther	•	itii soine	
person or suitable age and				
	Return of Non-			
I certify that service of process of	this Summons and the Comp		refused by	
	in	County, Alabama on		o is:
(First and Last Name of Person Served)	(Name of County)		(Date)	
the above-named Defenda	nt;			
an individual authorized to	receive service of process po	ursuant to Rule 4(c), Alabam	a Rules of Civil Procedure	э;
As a designated process server purs at least 19 years of age, I am not a p marriage to the party seeking service	arty to this proceeding, and I ar			
(Type of Process Server)	(Server's Signature)	(Address of Server)		
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable)	(Server's Printed Name)			
(Badge or Precinct Number of Sheriff or Constable)	(Telephone Number of Designated F	rocess Server)		

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
- 1. Article Addressed to:

3M COMPANY C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY

251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE

WILMINGTON, NEW CASTLE, DE 19808

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

Ryan MacAithur

□ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

☐ Yes D. Is delivery address different from item 1?

If YES, enter delivery address below:

[] No

OCT 29 2020

9590 9402 8238 3030 6808 72

O. Articla Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 2367 9285

Service Type

🖺 Adult Signature

□ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery

R' Certified Mail®

[L] Certified Mail Restricted Delivery

□ Collect on Delivery

□ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

lail Restricted Delivery

Priority Mail Express®

☐ Registered Mail[™]

☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

X Signature Confirmation™

☐ Signature Confirmation

Flestricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Fletum Fleceiph

DOCUMENT 5 2:24-cv-07381-RMG Date Filed 11/22/24 Page 146 of 146 USPS TRACKING# First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid USPS Permit No. G-10 9590 9402 8238 3030 LAD8 72 **United States** Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4[®] in this box **Postal Service** ACQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH, CLERK FILED IN OFFICE ROOM 400 JEFF CO COURTHOUSE CIRCUIT CIVIL IDIVISION 716 RICHARD ARRINGTON JR BLVD. N.). NOV 06 2024 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35203 JAUQUELINE ANDERSON SMITH **CLERK**

NTF.